DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 443 269 EF 005 735

AUTHOR Duran, Janis A.; Glassberg, Arnie C.

TITLE San Lorenzo Unified School District: Transforming Our

Schools, Building for Student Success.

INSTITUTION San Lorenzo Unified School District, CA.

PUB DATE 2000-03-30

NOTE 119p.; Presented at the Annual Conference of the National

School Boards Association (Orlando, FL, March 30, 2000).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC05 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Educational Facilities Improvement; *Educational Facilities

Planning; Elementary Secondary Education; Public Schools;


Strategic Planning

IDENTIFIERS *San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District CA

ABSTRACT

This two-part report presents the organizational and developmental process behind the San Lorenzo Unified School District's school strategic planning initiative created to transform its schools and thereby enhance student success for the next century. The first part addresses the school district's history, demographics, enrollment trends and enrollment's impact on facility decision making, the schools' ages and condition, grade level spand prior to transformation, class size reduction, identified goals, and funding options. The second part presents an overview of the transformation process, school board role and preparation, board action points, special update sessions, and implementation challenges. The District's 1998/99 annual report is included. (GR)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.



PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Arnie Glassberg

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

originating if

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily (epresent official OERI position or policy

Presented at the

National School Boards Association Annual Conference Orlande, Florida March 30, 2000

> Janis A. Duran Superintendent

Amie C. Glassberg Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

NATIONAL SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION ANNUAL CONFERENCE ORLANDO, FLORIDA MARCH 31, 2000

AGENDA

PART ONE

- * HISTORY OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT
- * DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE DISTRICT
- * HISTORICAL, CURRENT, AND FUTURE ENROLLMENT TRENDS
- * IMPACT OF ENROLLMENT TRENDS ON FACILITIES DECISIONS
- * AGE OF SCHOOLS
- * CONDITION OF SCHOOLS
- * GRADE LEVEL SPANS BEFORE TRANSFORMATION
- * CLASS SIZE REDUCTION
- * IDENTIFIED GOALS
- * FUNDING OPTIONS

NATIONAL SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION ANNUAL CONFERENCE ORLANDO, FLORIDA MARCH 31, 2000

AGENDA

PART TWO

- * OVERVIEW OF THE TRANSFORMATION PROCESS
- * SCHOOL BOARD ROLE AND PREPARATION
- * BOARD ACTION POINTS

V.83.6

- * SPECIAL UPDATE SESSIONS
- * IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

()

Remoderation of the second of

• 1987

61% Anglo;

%**6£**

Prican American

Hispanic/Asian/

68.5% 31.5% Anglo;

1999

hircan American

Hispanic/Asian/

Hispanic/Asian/

30%

70% Anglo;

1987

Washington Manor 1987

African American

African American HSBBIC/BSBBI

64.4%

35.6% Angle;

888 888 888

10

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT ETHNICITY REPORT 4-YEAR COMPARISON

Totals	11,302 100.0	11,042 <i>100.0</i>	10,815 100.0	10,427 <i>100.0</i>
White (not of Hispanic origin)	3,561 31.5	3,689 33.3	3,856	3,928 37.7
Black (not of Hispanic origin)	1,769 <i>1</i> 5.6	1,727 15.6	1,668 15.4	1,596 <i>15.</i> 3
Hispanic	3,814 33.7	3,563 32. 2	3,325 30.8	3,096 29.7
Filipino	673 5.9	661 5.9	612 5.7	566
Pacific Islander	191 I.6	163 1.4	146 <i>I.3</i>	131 <i>I.2</i>
Asian	1,179 ·	1,107 10.0	1,085 10.0	968 9.6
Am. Ind. or Alaksan Native	106 .9	132 <i>I.1</i>	123 1.1	112 1.1
	# %	# %	# %	# %
	% # 00/66	66/86	86/L6	26/96

<u>____</u>

တ

OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS

Implementation of non-facilities components of Strategic Plan I (SP1) 1994-95

Planning to plan year for facilities component of SPI 1995-96

Identification of scope and desired outcomes for facilities component 1996-97

Implementation planning for Transformation 1997-98

Implementation of Transformation and planning for SPII 1998-99

Evaluation of Transformation and implementation of SPII 1999-00

(3)

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Educating for Success



RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING LONG RANGE FACILITIES USE AND RECONFIGURATION OF SCHOOLS

Presented by:

Janis A. Duran Superintendent

June 3, 1997

PART I

LONG RANGE FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP SUMMARY OF WORK

AND

PHASE I AND PHASE II OF RECOMMENDATIONS/INPUT

FROM THE

FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP

MISSION STATEMENT

"The mission of the Facilities and Resources Action Team is to identify the 12 school sites (by visitation), additional District-owned properties and supporting resources (equipment and personnel). We will organize a District-wide standard for the maintenance, updating and upgrading of the 12 existing sites and evaluate all District properties for the possibility of alternative grade configurations. Additionally, we will develop plans to implement and sustain that standard, as well as develop a short-term strategy to provide middle school site(s) for the students of the San Lorenzo Unified School District."

Page 26 Strategic Plan 1994

FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP'S STUDY MATERIALS

- Enrollment and Facilities Madrigal, Michell
- Leased Facilities, Portables, Finance/Budget, Revenue Sources Fettig
- California Credentials Ow
- Articles re: middle schools and young adolescents Rusk
- 6 6/7th grade Core Program
- 8th grade programs
- "What More Information Do You Need" Resource Team
- Notes from New Haven Visitation Group
- Notes from sub-group District's sites visitations
- Survey results from District's teachers
- Jack Dickson SAMPLE OPTIONS
- INPUT REPORT
- Answers to Questions from March 25/27 Study Sessions
- "Pros and Cons" of facilities under consideration
- Bus Stops information
- Comparative Financial Implications
- Facilities Implementation
- Transforming our Schools...
- Notes from May 14/20 Coffee Klatches
- Superintendant's memo re: Washington Manor/Dayton
- Superintendent's memo re: Comparison of Facilities for Continuation/Alternative Schools
- Superintendent's memo re: examples of recommendations
- Superintendent's memo re: Change and Challenge
- Executive Summary of Survey Results

FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP PARTICIPANTS 1996-1997

Students

- Carrie Arthur/Edendale
- Paula Eisenberg/Colonial Acres
- Erin Wingo/Washington Manor
- Jeff Kwong/Arroyo High School
- Jennifer Elling/San Lorenzo High School

Parents

- · Victor Gonzalez Arroyo High
- William Washington Bay
- Bob Condap Corvallis
- Tim Shaffer Del Rey
- Marsue Lemon Hesperian
- Joanette Tavarez Hillside
- Mary Wright Lorenzo Manor
- Pat Reed San Lorenzo High
- Sally Wingo Washington Manor

Community

- Debbie Hansen San Lorenzo Village Homes Association
- Derry Silva Cherryland Homes Association
- Robert Leigh (Alt) Washington HomeOwners Association
- · Bobbie Hernandez PTA
- · Gloria Winslow District Bilingual Advisory

Employee Groups

- Barbara Dunn/SLEA/Arroyo High
- Pam Fobert/SLEA/Bay
- Michael Apel/SLEA/Bohannon
- Jan Jones/SLEA/Colonial Acres
- Betty Riback/SLEA/Corvallis
- Roz McCluney/SLEA/Del Rey
- Allyson Henderson/SLEA/Edendale
- Mike Roche/SLEA/Hesperian
- Pam VandeKamp/SLEA/Hillside
- Kristin Kane/SLEA/Lorenzo Manor
- Elliot Schneider/SLEA/San Lorenzo High
- Harry Uliasz/SLEA/Washington Manor
- Peggy Shepherd/CSEA
- Larry McNabb, SEIU
- Pat Wills, Confidential/Supervisory
- Javier Mendieta, SLMA, Secondary
- Jodi Lytel, SLMA, Elementary
- Carol Lyda/CSEA
- Jan Steinhoff, SLMA Secondary
- Jan Preston, SLMA, Elementary

Helen Randall - Board

Jim Ferraro, Business Services

Larry Maniscalco, Superintendent's Staff

Facilitator: Cathy Toldi

Resource Team: Jack Dickson, Janis Duran, Paul Fettig, Angelo Madrigal, Tom Michell, Liz Rusk,

Art Thayer, Jane Tom

FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP'S AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

1.0 MEETINGS

The Facilities Planning Group held its first meeting on October 10, 1996. Following this meeting, the FaPG met on the following dates:

October 17, 1996

November 7, November 14, 1996

December 12, 1996

January 16, January 30, 1997

February 13, February 27, 1997

March 6, March 25/27, 1997

April 10, April 17, 1997

May 1, May 22, 1997

In addition, two study sessions were scheduled for FaPG members to meet with the Resource Team and receive clarifying information.

2.0 SURVEY - PARENTS'

The FaPG completed a research phase during the course of its work during the Fall and Winter. During this time, an informal parent survey was developed by some FaPG members in order to get an idea as to whether parents supported middle schools. The results of this informal survey provided evidence that there was support for a middle school configuration in the District. Additionally, FaPG members read articles pertaining to middle school education and heard presentations from school personnel.

3.0 VISITATIONS

FaPG members also participated in visitations to other districts in order to observe other educational environments. Visitations were made to the New Haven and Alameda Unified School Districts. As a result of these visitations, FaPG members brought back with them more insight and perspective, particularly with regard to middle school operations.

4.0 SURVEY RESPONSES

A survey was developed by a sub group of the FaPG and this survey was sent to students, parents, staff, and homeowners within the San Lorenzo community asking for their thoughts. Survey results were condensed into an Executive Summary that is attached.

5.0 COFFEE KLATCHES

Superintendent Janis Duran held two "coffee klatches" for FaPG members during the Month of May in order to answer questions with regard to facilities, finances, and potential use of lease sites. It was also an opportunity for FaPG members to report on what their constituents were telling them. Notes of these meetings were provided to the Facilities Planning Group.

CRITERIA FOR FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP

In addition to the Board's parameter that the recommendation of the Group will be fiscally responsible and within the current and future predicted budget of the District consensus was reached by the Facilities Planning Group on the following criteria at their meeting on January 30, 1997:

- 1. EDUCATIONALLY AND DEVELOPMENTALLY SOUND FOR ALL STUDENTS AT ALL GRADE LEVELS.
- 2. SIZE OF THE SITE ACCOMMODATES GRADE LEVEL CONFIGURATION.
- 3. MEETS FUTURE LONG TERM NEEDS.
- 4. SITES SHOULD BE EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO STUDENTS.

GRADE CONFIGURATIONS

At the February 27, 1997 meeting, the Facilities Planning Group reached consensus on the District's grade configuration of:

- K-5
- 6-8
- 9-12

SAN LORENZO SCHOOL DISTRICT FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP DECISION PROCEDURE

- 1. Discussion.
- 2. Anyone can call for closure.
- 3. Clarify proposal.
- 4. Poil for preferences.
- 5. Larry assesses: is this enough agreement to be considered a final decision, or do we need more discussion?

IF YES

IF NO

The decision is considered


final.

Return to discussion.

(Note: this is only possible if no one blocks)

Note: people who are less enthusiastic should try to specify what they don't support, and present alternatives.

FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT



FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP POLLING RESULTS

FINAL MEETING -- May 22, 1997

PHASE I

3 or 4 MIDDLE SCHOOLS:

	1	2	3	4	5
3 Middle Schools	15	1	5	4	5
4 Middle Schools	8	3	4	4	11

MIDDLE SCHOOL SITES:

	1	2	3	4	. 5
Edendale**	33	1			
Bohannon**	33	1			
Washington Manor**	27	5	1	1	
Dayton	1	3	10	11	6
Colonial Acres	2	5	5	10	11
Sunset	2	1	5	8	16

K-5 SITES:

	1	2	3	4	4
Grant**	32	1			
Dayton**	32	1 .	2		
Sunset	2	2	4	7	17
Linda Vista	1	5	6	4	15

CONTINUATION SCHOOL SITE:

	1	2	3	4	5
Sunset**	27	3	3	1	0
Martin	0	3	12	10	10

^{**}Decision was reached that these schools will be recommended to Superintendent Duran as the "new" middle, K-5, and continuation sites to be opened in September, 1998.



PHASE II

PHASE II, RECOMMENDATIONS TO TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAMS AND SUPERINTENDENT (Polling Results)	MORE IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT
If 3 middle schools, then develop criteria to open a 4th middle school in 2001	25	3
Suggest a 6-8 magnet at Fairmont or El Portal	17	9
Portables need windows that open, and 2 doors, with water and a need for storage	33	
Ethnic balance - staff and students	29	
Balance enrollment numbers (middle school)	23	8
Include Bilingual Advisory Council representative in implementation	16	8
Include counselors in middle schools - all grades = to high school ratio	30	
Equity of resources important	23	1
Middle School Vice-Principals	28	1
Develop vocation curriculum	20	10

A commitment was made that these Phase II Recommendations will be discussed and analyzed during the implementation phase.

TRANSFORMING OUR SCHOOLS FOR THE 21ST CENTURY BUILDING FOR STUDENT SUCCESS

- 1. PLANNING TO PLAN COMMITTEE FOR COMPOSITION, SELECTION, PURPOSE OF TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAMS
- 2. TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAMS
 - CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT / PROGRAM PLANNING
 - DEVELOPMENT "NEW" SCHOOL PLANNING
 - COMMUNICATION
 - FACILITIES PLANNING / CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT
 - BOUNDARIES
 - STAFFING / EMPLOYEE GROUP NEGOTIATIONS
 - PARENT / COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS
- 3. TRANSFORMATION COORDINATION TEAM

EXPANDED LEADERSHIP AND TEACHER SUPPORT

- NEW DIRECTORS:

ELEMENTARY EDUCATION DIRECT OR SECONDARY EDUCATION DIRECT OR ASSESSMENT / ACCOUNTABILITY PLANNING DIRECT OR

- 4. PLANNING FOR FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION:
 - CLASS SIZE REDUCTION, 20:1, K-3
 - OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM 6-8
 - ADULT PROGRAM OFFERINGS

TRANSFORMING OUR SCHOOLS FOR THE 21ST CENTURY Building for Student Success

PART II

SUPERINTENDENT JANIS DURAN'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

JUNE 3, 1997
Presentation and Consideration Only

JUNE 17, 1997

Presented for Approval

RECOMMENDATION 1.0 GRADE CONFIGURATION

WHEREAS the Strategic Plan of the San Lorenzo Unified School District, Revised August 10, 1994, in the Mission Statement on Facilities and Resources states in part, "... as well as develop a short term strategy to provide middle school site/s for the students of the San Lorenzo Unified School District" and

WHEREAS the Long Range Facilities Planning Committee (50 representative members) studied the topic for eight months and unanimously agreed the grade configuration of the schools in the San Lorenzo Unified School District should be K-5, 6-8 and 9-12,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the grade configuration of the District be K-5, 6-8 and 9-12.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:



RECOMMENDATION 2.0 K-5 SCHOOLS

WHEREAS the Long Range Facilities Planning Group, studying reorganization and the grade configuration of the San Lorenzo Unified School District, was unanimous in recommending to effect the reorganization of two District schools be re-opened as K-5 schools (Dayton and Grant) and,

WHEREAS the Facilities Planning Group unanimously recommended that seven other schools be operated as K-5 schools,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that Dayton and Grant be reopened and that the K-5 schools in the District be as follows:

Bay Elementary School
Colonial Acres Elementary School
Corvallis Elementary School
Dayton Elementary School
Del Rey Elementary School
Grant Elementary School
Hesperian Elementary School
Hillside Elementary School
Lorenzo Manor Elementary School

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:



RECOMMENDATION 3.0 6-8 MIDDLE SCHOOLS

WHEREAS the Long Range Facilities Planning Group was unanimous in recommending the following schools be middle schools in the San Lorenzo Unified School District: Bohannon Middle School, Edendale Middle School, and Washington Manor Middle School and,

WHEREAS the Strategic Plan of the San Lorenzo Unified School District, Revised August 10, 1994, Section on Facilities and Resources states, "We will identify, organize, and develop our facilities and resources to support a safe environment for leaning" and,

WHEREAS the Assistant Superintendent of Business Services indicates three middle schools are financially feasible for the District,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the following schools be middle schools in the San Lorenzo Unified School District:

Bohannon Middle School Edendale Middle School Washington Manor Middle School

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

RECOMMENDATION 4.0 CONTINUATION HIGH SCHOOL/ AND OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

WHEREAS the Long Range Facilities Planning Group was unanimous in recommending that Sunset School be re-opened as a 9-12 Continuation High School and 7-8 Opportunity Program and,

WHEREAS the East Bay Faith Center is willing to modify the lease they have for the property into a shared usage lease,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the lease with the East Bay Faith Center be modified for joint usage and that Sunset School be re-opened and operated as a 9-12 Continuation High School and a 7-8 Opportunity Program. NOTE: The location of the museums, Independent Study, Dayspring Instruction are stillunder study by the Superintendent and Staff. Current plans are for the Preschool to move to Sunset.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

RECOMMENDATION 5.0 DATE OF OPENING

- WHEREAS the Long Range Facilities Planning Group was unanimous in its recommendation that reorganization and change of grade configuration be effective with the opening of schools in September, 1998 and,
- WHEREAS the opening of schools in September, 1998 will allow adequate time for instructional planning and preparation of the facilities and,
- WHEREAS the instructional planning is already commencing to ensure the District can provide facilities and resources to support a safe learning environment and,
- WHEREAS the re-opening of Sunset prior to the rest of reorganization in September, 1998 will facilitate a smooth and orderly transition and,
- WHEREAS the re-opening of Sunset prior to September, 1998 will allow as many as possible of the programs at Bohannon to move to Sunset allowing necessary work on the facilities to commence at an earlier date,
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that reorganization and the new grade configuration be effective with the opening 12 school in September, 1998 with the exception that Sunset be re-opened as soon as possible and as many as possible of the programs at Bohannon be moved to Sunset.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:



RECOMMENDATION 6.0, PHASE II -FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS

- WHEREAS, the Long Term Facilities Planning Group identified some concerns/recommendations outside of the "charge" of the committee but related to the reorganization and reconfiguration of the grade level structure of the District, a Phase II on implementation of preceding recommendations and,
- WHEREAS, the Long Term Facilities Planning Group identified some concerns/recommendations outside of the "charge" of the committee but related to the reorganization and reconfiguration of the grade level structure of the District, a Phase II on implementation of preceding recommendations and,
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the following Phase II, Facilities Planning Group's recommendations to Transformation Implementation Advisory Teams (TIATs) and the Superintendent be officially received and will be passed on as appropriate:

PHASE II, FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAMS AND SUPERINTENDENT If 3 middle schools, then develop criteria to open a 4th middle school in 2001. Suggest a 6-8 magnet school at Fairmont Terrace or El Portal. Portables need windows that open, and 2 doors, with water and a need for storage. Ethnic balance - staff and students. Balance enrollment numbers (m.ddle school). Include a Bilingual Advisory Committee representative in implementation. Include counselors in middle schools - all grades = to high school ratio. Equity of resources important. Middle School Vice-Principals. Develop vocation curriculum.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:	
NOES:	•
ABSENT:	



RECOMMENDATION 7.0 FACILITIES PLANNING GROUP

- WHEREAS, the 50 members of the Long Term Facilities Planning Group and the District Resource Team conducted extensive, comprehensive and exhaustive meetings bi-monthly over an eight month period and,
- WHEREAS, as a culmination of their meetings, the committee made substantive recommendations that will result in reorganization and reconfiguration of the grade level structure of the District which resulted in the establishment of middle schools for the District and.
- WHEREAS, the new grade level reconfiguration will result in a K-5, 6-8 and 9-12 structure for the District's schools and,
- WHEREAS, the Long Range Facilities Planning Group, the committee who developed the District's Strategic Plan and the District's staff believe the above stated actions will result in improved learning opportunities for the students in the San Lorenzo Unified School District,
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the members of the Long Range Facilities Planning Group and the District's Resource Team assigned to this project be THANKED AND COMMENDED FOR A JOB WELL DONE! Their study, commitment and recommendations will have a significant impact upon improved learning opportunities for students in the San Lorenzo Unified School District for years to come!
- IT IS, THEREFORE, further recommended by the District Superintendent that the individual members of the Long Range Facilities Planning Group and the District's Resource Team assigned to this project be given a *Certificate of Appreciation* to be signed by the Board of Education President and District Superintendent.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 17th day of June, 1997.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

THE NEXT THREE YEARS IN SLZUSD SHOULD BE:

THE MOST EXCITING

THE MOST CHALLENGING

THE MOST STIMULATING

THE MOST EXHAUSTING

AND PROVIDE THE MOST IMPROVEMENTS

FOR STUDENTS

IN OVER TWO DECADES!




TRANSFORMING THE SCHOOLS IN THE SLZUSD FOR THE 21ST CENTURY!




SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

TRANSFORMATION JOURNEY

Pyramids to Decision-Making






"Level of support and buy-in during decision-making is the **key** element to the decision's success"



Janis Duran Superintendent

DECISIONS 6.0

Elementary (K - 5) Middle School (6 - 8) BOUNDARIES



CONTROVERSIAL

DECISION - MAKING IN PUBLIC SESSIONS IDEAL OUTCOMES

 Quality decisions are made that will have maximum positive impact on students and their educational achievement.

3

No. of V

÷

an pick

0.0

N. W.

- Public feels their input has been listened to even if final solution does not satisfy them. They Feel the Board is empathetic to feelings even if can not satisfy them.
- **Committee** feels valued; congratulated appreciated even if Board asks for further information; further options/work.
- Administrators feel/believe they did a quality job and presented options that work for our students' benefit and future.
- No one feels blamed/shamed/faulted for people not all being "happy".
- Wedges are not created that will make further work difficult or even impossible.
- <u>All parties</u> appreciate that any solution presents challenges. No one solution is ideal for everyone but is the most optimal solution.

HOW CAN WE CREATE THESE

OPTIMAL OUTCOMES - NOT SET UP

WIN ← LOSE

BOARD MEETING

PUBLIC INPUT PHASE

- Review agreed upon process
- Concern areas

"Verbally or Body Language agreeing/disagreeing as speakers speak"

→ BOXES BOARD MEMBER IN ←

"Commenting to Speakers"

"Some speak from chair"

"Reopening public comment after closed - informal

- → EXCLUDES SOME
- → INCLUDES OTHERS

"Putting Committee Members or administrators on the spot asking them to respond directly to angry person.



POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS


→ Public input time -- only input

-44

±± -7

182

- Questions by Board Members or speakers fine . . . only questions not opinions
- Staff (including Supt) <u>not</u> respond to public questions one at a time dialog/debate quickly erupts goes <u>nowhere</u>.
- Staff responds AFTER public comment done - closed BEFORE Board discusses among themselves.



TEST DRIVE CONTROVERSIAL DECISION - MAKING SESSION 4



- What are some things that we can do/say to prepare?
- PROCEDURAL FENCES TIME KEEPER OTHER THAN PRESIDENT
 # MINUTES / SPEAKER
 TIME LIMIT TO PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD



Excerpted from

Managing Transitions: Making the Most of Change

by William Bridges

Notes

Chapter 1—It Isn't the Changes That Do You In

It isn't the changes that do you in, it's the transitions. Change is not the same as transition. Change is situational: the new site, the new boss, the new team roles, the new policy. Transition is the psychological process people go through to come to terms with the new situation. Change is external, transition is internal.

arrangements must be understood if we are or to a flatter organizational structure or to organizations's change to a service culture overlooked when people think of transition New York City, the change is crossing the country and learning your way around the When we talk about change, we naturally focus on the outcome that the change will produce. If you move from California to as simply gradual or unfinished change. Several of the important differences using electronic mail to communicate Big Apple. The same is true of your between change and transition are internally. In each case the new to be ready for the change

Transition is different. The starting point for transition is not the outcome but the ending that you will have to make to leave that old situation behind. Situational change hinges on the new thing, but psychological transition depends on letting go of the old reality and the old identity you had before the change took place. Nothing so undermines organizational change as the failure to think through who will have to let go of what when change occurs.

Transition starts with an ending—paradoxical but true. Test this fact in your own experience. Think of a big change in your life: your first managerial job, or the birth of your first child, or the move to a new house. Good changes, all of them, but as transitions, each one started with

With the job, you may have had to let go of your old peer group. They weren't peers anymore, and the kind of work you really liked may have come to an end. Perhaps you had to give up the feeling of competence that came from doing that work, and your habit of leaving your work at the office may have stopped when you took on the round-the-clock responsibility of a managerial job.

Excerpted from Managing Transitions:
Making the Most of Change, New York:
Addison-Wesley, 1991.

the-clo

= **Cerre** 33

go of regular sleep, extra money, time alone like it. Here, too, your sense of competence going somewhere when the two of you felt With the baby, you probably had to let with your spouse, and the spontaneity of yourself unable to get the baby to eat or may have come to an end as you found sleep or stop crying.

were gone. You have to let go of feeling at used to know where to go for what: stores, who'd keep an eye on the house when you the doctor, the dentist, and the neighbor touch, it was never the same again. You relationships ended. Even if you kept in With the move, a whole network of home for a while.

ready for the endings and losses that change Even in these "good" changes, there are of something. There are endings. There are just realistic. The failure to identify and be transitions that begin with having to let go losses. I'm not trying to be discouragingproduces is the largest single problem that organizations in transition encounter.

improvement program, and no one foresees related to their job. The organization builds a beautiful new headquarters building, and The organization institutes a quality "improvement" as a loss of something how many people will experience the no one foresees how many people experience the relocation as a loss.

transition management. The second step is understanding what comes after the letting go: the neutral zone. This is the no-man'sidentity and the new. It is a time when the and between the old reality and the new. old way is gone and the new doesn't feel begins with letting go of something, you Once you understand that transition it's the limbo between the old sense of have taken the first step in the task of comfortable yet.

emotional wilderness, a time when it wasn't neither the old nor the new. It was a kind of When you moved to your new house, or instead of becoming a new person as fast as change probably happened pretty fast. But that is just the external situational change. got the promotion, or had the baby, the struggled for a time in a state that was happened much more slowly, because clear who you were or what was real. nwardly the psychological transition you changed outwardly, you actually

be discouraged when you cannot do so. You may mistakenly conclude that the confusion you're likely to try to rush through it and to It's important to understand this neutral zone for several reasons. First, if you don't you feel is a sign that there's something expect it and understand why it is there,

(Employees do this frequently, which is why Secondly, you may be frightened in this no-man's-land and try to escape. with you.

Managing Transitions: Making the Most of Change (continued)

there is an increased level of turnover during major organizational changes.) To abandon the situation, however, is to abort the transition, both personally and organizationally—and to jeopardize the change.

Third, if you escape prematurely from the neutral zone, you'll not only compromise the change but also lose a great opportunity. Painful though it often is, the neutral zone is the individual's and the organization's best chance for creativity, renewal, and development. The positive aspect of the neutral zone will be discussed further in a later chapter, but here let me note simply that this gap between the old and the new is the time when innovation is most possible and when revitalization begins.

The neutral zone is thus both a dangerous and an opportune place, and it is the very core of the transition process. It's whe place and time when the old habits that are no longer adaptive to the situation are extinguished and new, better-adapted patterns of habit begin to take shape. It is the winter in which the old growth returns to the soil as decayed matter, while the next year's growth begins to stir in the root underground. It is the night during which we are disengaged from yesterday's concerns and prepared for tomorrow's. It is the chaos

in which the old form of things dissolves and from which the new form emerges. It is the seedbed of the new beginning that you seek


Ending—neutral zone—new beginning. People make the new beginning only if they have first made an ending and spent some time in the neutral zone. Yet most organizations try to start with the beginning rather than finishing with it. They pay no attention to endings. They do not acknowledge the existence of the neutral zone, then wonder why people have so much difficulty with change.

When I say that "organizations" do these things, I mean, of course, that people do. Only people like you can develop the new attitude toward change by recognizing that it is dependent on transition. Only people like you can learn to manage transitions so the changes do not become unmanageable. Only people like you can implement change in such a way that it does not end up hurting the organization more than helping it.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Set Continues

TRANSFORMING OUR SCHOOLS...BUILDING FOR STUDENT SUCCESS





The District's Comet: Transformation for our Students' Future

San Lorenzo Unified School District is poised to make a dramatic transformation which holds promise of having the most positive impact for our students than possibly any time in its long history. It is likely this is the most dramatic and comprehensive change in certainly the last 20 years and probably the next 20 years.

Unified School Distric

Mrs. Barbara Sidari President, Board of Education

Input/Involvement - What Will Be My Avenues For Input/Involvement?

- Site Representative Councils will be asked to complete surveys, discuss options, and express joint opinions.
- The Transformation Implementation Advisory Teams (TIATs) will be formed including a diverse composition of staff, community, students, and parents.
- Forums for opinions to TIATs: On September 30, 1997 at 6:30 p.m. at San Lorenzo High School cafeteria (use Ashland parking lot) the Transformation Implementation Advisory Teams will hold a forum to receive input from anyone interested. Notes will be taken and shared.

♦ TRANSFORMATIONS ♦

Keeping the Best - Improving the Rest

Superintendent's Comments

Purposes of this Transformation

- We will strengthen our accountability benchmark system What do students need to know and be able to do at 5th, 8th, and graduation? We must be accountable for continuously improving the academic achievement of students.
- 8th graders at high school is not an appropriate setting even though much work has gone into developing a quality core or "house" program for them.
- Currently our 7th/8th/9th graders represent a high repercentage of dropouts, expulsions, and suspensions than any other group. We believe that creating a special place that directly meets their needs will remedy some of the failure of these adolescents.
- The State document, "Caught in the Middle," describes the 6th-7th-8th grades school as the configuration more adaptable to adolescents' age level.
- We will be more in sync with most districts in California.
- Most schools will have smaller enrollments K-5 will range 382-680; 6-8 approximately 700-850; high schools will be lower than the last eight years.
- We will be able to expand our 20:1 primary class size reduction program to include K-3 grades.
- We will be able to focus on the unique needs of middle school students.
- · Curriculum and academic standards will be more clearly defined.
- High schools will concentrate on the 4-year sequence for college prep or other post-secondary goals.
- Middle schools have been shown to meet the needs of adolescents in developmentally responsive ways on a national scale for more than three decades.
- There are currently 12,000 middle schools nationally and the number is growing as meeting the needs of adolescent youth becomes more challenging.
- Creating middle schools will help provide a caring transition between elementary school and high school for young adolescents who are intensively self-conscious and self-evaluative.
- Middle schools subscribe to "elements that are believed to be congruent with the
 goals of educating adolescent students, such as: advisory programs, an
 interdisciplinary team organization, an exploratory emphasis, flexible scheduling,
 active instruction, specially trained teachers, shared decision making, success
 experiences for all students."
- As we transform, reconfigure, we also have the unique and glorious opportunity to modernize every school in San Lorenzo Unified School District over the next 3-4 years.

Excerpt from remarks to staff, Sept. 2, 1997, by Janis Duran, District Superintendent

ISSUE #2

September 1997



TRANSFORMATIONS •

ISSUE #2 September 1997

New Beginnings and Some Endings

To implement improvement, what will end? What must we say good-bye to? What closures will be in store for students, parents and staff?

- We will no longer have our 6th/7th graders at K-7 schools. Our nine elementary schools will become schools serving K-5 students.
- Our 8th graders will no longer be in our high schools, but will be part of our 6-7-8 grade middle schools.
- Edendale Elementary K-7 School and Washington Manor K-7 School will be closed as elementary schools and re-opened as middle schools.
- Bohannon High School will no longer serve high school students, preschool, home instruction and other programs.
 Bohannon will also become a middle school as these programs are moved to Sunset School.
- We must end or change our leasing arrangements with some sites as we re-open Dayton, Grant, and Sunset Schools.
- We will end some of our boundary lines as we know them now affecting most schools.
- We will say good-bye to K-3 classrooms larger than 20:1.
- We will end being a relatively small district of 7,000 as it was not too long ago, adding 3 schools and reaching a student population of 11,000.

Excerpt from remarks to staff Sept. 2, 1997, by Janis Duran District Superintendent



To recognize our losses is essential to our transformation and creating our new beginnings. A noted statesman once said, "We have nothing to fear, but fear itself."

Why Transformation?

Transformation of the district schools is a planned, systematic approach to internal and external forces such as:

- The strategic plan approved 3 years ago highlighted the need to research the feasibility of middle schools.
- Schools have too many students on one campus with a wide grade span of 8 grades at one site.
- Enrollment growth has been steady and consistent.
- Parents/community consistently hoping for middle schools over the past 10 years.
- Adolescent students have changed dramatically over the past 10 years.
 They are now more than ever, at a special age and they need a special place.
- Finally, the state budget now offers a major opportunity to provide our youngest students with the opportunity to have smaller class sizes.

Know Your Elected Board of Education

- * Barbara Sidari, President *
- № Betty Mouse, Vice-President/Clerk №
 - Norman Fobert *
 - Helen Randall &
 - * William Santora *

Besides attending over 30 board meetings per year all board members serve as liaison to three-five committees, such as:

- Regional Occupational Program Council
- Council for Prevention of Drinking and Driving
- ✓ Sheriffs Advisory Committe:
- Special Education Local Plan Area Committee
- San Lorenzo City/School Liaison Committee
- Board of Supervisors Advisory Committee

Total Summer School Enrollment

San Lorenzo High School 539
Bay Elementary School 462
1.001

Community and Staff Input

When the district developed the strategic plan 140 people were directly involved. There was a committee of 52 and literally hundreds of others provided input. All committee work since 1992, has involved many contributors with multiple perspectives, receiving input from various stakeholder groups. The Board of Education and Superintendent pride themselves in shared decision making as the operating strategy in this district. Some of these major decisions, which relied heavily on the input of representative groups were:

- Dress Code Policy
- Internet Policy
- Facilities Planning Group
- Instructional Materials Section Process

Input and shared solutio: athering will be a vital part of the transformation at different levels of involvement. Community and staff input and ideas are appreciated and valued.

Schools are Ready

This summer the district's buildings and grounds staff:

- built the reading recovery room at the adult school
- repaired and replaced main sewer line at Washington Manor
- made all district moves for the museums, Indian education, data processing; made repairs to old DP portable for the new principals
- completed over 100 work orders.

Other Summer Projects:

- exterior painting of trim, railings, woodwork and fascia boards at Colonial Acres, Corvallis, Del Rey, Edendale, Hesperian, Lorenzo Manor and Washington Manor Elementary Schools
- Major roofing projects at Colonial Acres, Hesperian, Lorenzo Manor and Washington Manor

Transformation Requires Planning - Lots of Planning

The district's detailed action plan has been converted to a project management software program (GANNT CHARTS) where over 527 action steps/activities and over 1,000 subsets have been identified with dates to be completed; who needs to be involved; who is ultimately responsible; and, how we will know it is done.

> California provides \$1,000 less per student for education than the average of the other 50 states. Sacramento Bee 8/17/97

Boundary Adjustments

Opening middle schools, two elementary schools and a new continuation high school requires boundary adjustments. A sophisticated computer software system has been installed to identify every student in the district by address, age, ethnicity, gender and other vital information. This program will enable us to design a demographic plan of boundaries that will best meet our diverse population. There will be community and staff input through the Boundary Committee (TIAT). More information will be provided in October/November.

Did you Know?

Even with all of the new funds from Sacramento in 1997-98 California will still rank 36 or 37 out of 50 states in the dollar amount provided per pupil.

Sacramento Bee 8/17/97

Over 120 computers were installed and converted to be available for use on the Worldwide Web and for networking by the district's Data Processing Department!

Professional Work Groups

Work groups are being formed that will focus on specific topics, such as:

- New School Library Development Larry Maniscalco
- · Special Education-Middle School Marlene Zuehlsdorff
- K-5 Vision
- Maria Schmidt
- Proficiency Tests
- Victoria Srago
- Middle School Program Design

Liz Rusk

Music/Fine Arts

Liz Rusk

To join one of these groups contact at the district office the "Point Person" named. Information on more committees and groups will be provided later.

Major Timelines for the Most Dynamic, Far-Reaching Decisions

- Principals hired, July 1997
- Personnel transfer policies are board approved, October 1997
- Staff needs for each school are determined - based on programs, December 1997
- School boundaries are board approved, December 1997
- Pack/move Continuation High/Opportunity School to Sunset site, December 1997
- Personnel transfer policies implemented, January 1998
- Notify parents of boundary changes, March 1998
- New principals hold staff meetings, May 1998
- Other movements -Pack, May/June 1998 Move, June/July 1998
- Relocation completed, August 1998
- School Opens, September 1998

Old Unused Eye Glasses?

Eye glasses are needed in Third World Countries. The law forbids reuse by others in the U.S. but your old glasses can be a wonderful help in other countries for those less fortunate. Contact Health Services at 317-4600 or drop them off at Health Services in the district office (Special Services) or at the nearest

District staff will see that they get to where they are needed. Your donation of a pair of glasses will be appreciated.

ISSUE #2 September 1997

Be a School Volunteer

- · Read Stories
- · Assist Teachers and Aides
- Make or reproduce instructional materials
- Call the nearest school and inquire how you can help San Lorenzo Schools

State Funding Not Keeping Up With Rising Prices and Inflation

San Lorenzo Unified School District Projected Ending Balance

1996-97	\$4,500,000
1997-98	\$3,500,000
1998-99	\$2,500,000
1999-2000	\$750,000

A teacher affects eternity; they can never tell where their influence stops.

Henry Brooks Adams, 1907

Ten Year Transformation of Student Composition

The demographic changes in San Lorenzo are happening not only on one side of town and not only at two or three schools. A few dramatic examples of changes in our data demographics:

District	1987	61% Anglo	39% Hispanic, Asian, African American
	1997	39% Anglo	61% Hispanic, Asian, African American
Washington Manor	1987	70% Anglo	30% Hispanic, Asian, African American
(K-7)	19 9 7	41% Anglo	59% Hispanic, Asian, African American
Edendale	1987	23% Anglo	77% Hispanic, Asian, African American
(K-7)	1997	13% Anglo	87% Hispanic, Asian, African American

No school in San Lorenzo Unified School District increased in the percentage of Anglo students in the past ten years.

Both: Colonial Acres & Corvallis	1987 1997	60% Anglo 37-39% Anglo	40% Hispanic, Asian, African American 61-63% Hispanic, Asian, African American
Arroyo High	1987	66% Anglo	34% Hispanic, Asian, African American
	1997	47% Anglo	53% Hispanic, Asian, African American

Many of the district's students proudly represent 2-3 different ethnic groups. Organizations are struggling with their ability to count persons of multiple ethnicity on the national census. This is the center of a national debate. Nearly 20% of our students have a primary language other than English, representing 38 different languages. Not just speaking a second language, but their primary language (the language they feel most comfortable with) is not English.



SAN LORENZO
Unified School District
15510 Usher Street
San Lorenzo, CA 94580

Non-Profit Org. U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 2 San Lorenzo, CA

ECRWSS



SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

September 16, 1997

Dear San Lorenzo Staff:

You are invited to participate in one of the Work Groups outlined below. These Work Groups focus on several different topics and have a variety of purposes and outcomes. The role of the Work Groups is to do the "good thinking" for District-wide Transformation. The length of time served as a Work Group member varies, as does the start date for the different groups.

If you would like to participate on a Work Group, and you believe you meet the criteria, please fill out the application form on the back and return it to Jane Tom, Educational Services by September 24, 1997. In the case of multiple applicants, all meeting the criteria, a blind draw will determine the membership. Some Work Groups will begin to meet immediately so your prompt attention to the due date is appreciated.

Criteria for Membership:

- Must be willing to work collaboratively
- Must be willing to work when needed
- Must be willing to complete the necessary reading

Special Education	Library/Media Center Development	Middle School Program Design
To develop the Special Education program	To provide recommendations for the	To design the program for middle schools.
for middle schools.	development of functional libraries/media	
	centers at the "new" schools.	Criteria for Membership: must have
Membership:		experience teaching or interest in teaching
1 School Psychologist	Membership:	grades 6-8.
1 SpEd Administrator	1 "new" K-5 Principal	
2 Special Day Class Teacher	I "new" middle school Principal	Membership:
2 Resource Specialist	1 high school Certificated Librarian	4 academic Teachers
1 Program Specialist	1 clementary Library Assistant	1 Special Ed Teacher
I BICM	1 Teacher, grades 6/7/8	1 Counselor
1 Parent	1 Teacher, grades K-5	I Elective Teacher
	1 middle school Parent	1 music/fine arts Teacher from
Four full day meetings 8:30-3:30:	1 K-5 Parent	Music/Fine Arts Work Group
October 10		
November 7	Timeline: October, 1997 through June, 1998	Timeline: End of September through Mid-
January 14		November.
February 24		

Music/Fine Arts	Proficiency	K-5 Vision
To research the different/creative ways in	To review the Proficiency Test process in	To explore the elements of and to develop a
which middle schools have scheduled	order to align it with the District Math and	VISION for K-5 schools.
music/fine arts in the curriculum.	Language Arts Standards.	i
		Membership:
Membership:	Membership:	9 Teachers (1 from each school)
3 music/fine arts Teachers	Math Dept. Chairs - AHS/SLz	3 from K-1
1 D.O. Administrator	English Dept. Chairs - AHS/SLz	3 from 2-3
	1 Teacher rep from Bohannon	3 from 4-5
Timeline: End of September through Mid-	1 6th grade teacher/ per quadrant	3 site Administrators
November.	1 secondary Administrator	3 Parents
	1 elementary Administrator	1 D.O. Administrator
	1 Director of Planning/Assessment	1 Classified employee
		1 non-classroom Teacher (P.E., Music, etc.)
	Timeline: after school, January through June,	
	1998.	Timeline: October, 1997 through January,
		1998

Jt: a;\Win\wkgrpask

Please turn over for applications



	Name		
	Site	Position	
	Work Phone:	Home Phone:	
-	September 24, 1997 to:	Jane Tom, Educational Services	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Meeting	the criteria for membership	, please consider me for the LIBRARY DEVELOPMENT WORK GROUP:	
	Site	Position	
	Work Phone:	Home Phone:	
Return by	y September 24, 1997 to:	Janc Tom, Educational Services	•••••
	·		CDO
viceting	•	o, please consider me for the MIDDLE SCHOOL PROGRAM DESIGN WORK	GKU
		Position	
	Site Work Phone:		
	OTA I HOMO	Avoida i lidila.	
Returr o	y September 24, 1997 to:	Jane Tom, Educational Services -	
	the criteria for membership	Jane Tom, Educational Services - p, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP:	
	the criteria for membership Name Address	o, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP:	
	the criteria for membership Name Address	o, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP:	
	NameAddressSite	p, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP: Position	
	the criteria for membership Name Address	Position Home Phone:	
Meeting	NameAddressSite	p, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP: Position	
Meeting	NameAddressSite	p, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP: Position Home Phone:	
Meeting	Name	po, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP: Position Home Phone: Jane Tom, Educational Services po, please consider me for the PROFICIENCY WORK GROUP:	
Meeting	Name	po, please consider me for the MUSIC/FINE ARTS WORK GROUP: Position Home Phone: Jane Tom, Educational Services po, please consider me for the PROFICIENCY WORK GROUP:	
Meeting	Name	position	
Meeting	Name	position	
Meeting	Name	position	
Meeting Return b	Name	position	
Meeting Return b	Site	position	
Meeting Return b	Name	position	
Meeting Return b Meeting	Name	position	
Meeting Return b Meeting	Name	position	
Accting Return b	Name	position	



Dear Parents and Community Members,

During the 1996-97 School year, the Facilities Planning Group, made up of students, parents, community and employee groups, worked from September through June to develop a plan for facilities use over the next five to eight years. On June 17, 1997, the Board of Education approved the recommendation of the Facilities Planning Group to reconfigure the school district from K-7, 8-12 to K-5, 6-8, 9-12. As we move towards the implementation of this Transformation, we want to continue to get the advice of the community. There are a variety of existing groups through which this can be accomplished including the Site Representative Councils, Bilingual Advisory Committees, and PTAs. In addition, five Transformation Implementation Advisory Teams (TIATs) are being formed. We are seeking parents and students to become members of these TIATs.

Each TIAT will be made up of 50% providers (employees of the district) and 50% receivers (parents, students and interested community representatives). The number of receiver slots is found in the table below. The East/West dividing line is Hesperian Boulevard.

Facilities	Communication	New School Planning	Curriculum	Boundaries
East Parent	1 East Parent	I East Parent	4 Reps from East and	2 East Parents
I East Student	1 East Student	1 East Student	West of whom 3 are	2 West Parents
I West Parent	1 West Parent	1 West Parent	Possible Middle school	I Student at large
I West Student	1 West Student	I West Student	Parents.	ì
1 Community Person	1 Community Person	1 Community Person	2 students	
5 Total	5 Total	5 Total	6 Total	5 Total

The role of each TIAT is described on the attached page. Receiver representatives will be drawn at random from those responding to this letter of invitation. Drawings will be held at a public meeting on September 16, 1997.

We are looking for membership on these committees that is reflective of the cultural, ethnic and geographic diversity of our school district. We welcome individuals who are willing to invest some personal time both in attending the meetings of the TIAT and in sharing information with the group that they represent. Members need to be open to studying complex issues, and willing to share the advice of the group that they represent on how to continue to best serve our students.

Please take a few minutes to read the attached description of the purpose and the initial calendar for each team and fill out the "sign-up" sheet below if you would like to become a member of one of the TIATs. You can either turn it in to your local school site or mail the completed form to Janc Tom, Educational Services, San Lorenzo Unified School District, 15510 Usher Street, San Lorenzo, CA 94580-1623. If you have any questions about this information, please call Jane Tom at (510) 317-4701.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincercly

DEST COPY AVAILABLE

7 - 27 - 27 - 4 - 14	Daniel	Curriculum	Now School Diaming	Communications
r acilities	Doundaries	Currentum	INCH SCHOOL FIRMING	Communications
Role:	Role:	Role:	Role:	Role:
To understand the meaning of	Provide advice on changes to the	Provide advice to work groups	Provide advice on how an	Provide advice on questions/
"School Ready", modernization,	current elementary boundary	on the vision of the 6-8 and K-5	optimal learning environment	concerns on the Transformation
equity, and the constraints	lines and the boundary lines for	schools, instructional program	can be established at the new	and support the delivery of
related to facility modernization	the three new middle schools.	design, and articulation between	school sites to support student	responses to the issues raised.
and to share that understanding		and among K-5, 6-8, 9-12.	learning. Advice will be given	
with the community the			in areas such as classroom	
members represent.			needs, learning environment,	
			library development and student	
			parent communications.	
This group will not direct	This group will not consider	This group will not decide	This group will not make any	This group will not be
construction activity.	opening another school on the	organizational issues such as the	decisions in the areas listed	responsible for the publication or
	cast side nor will it decide the	length of the school day, prep	above.	distribution of written materials,
	final boundary lines.	time, and course offerings at		or for editing/reviewing the
		each site or course content.		materials before they are printed.
They will know that they have	They will know that they have	They will know that they have	They will know that they have	They will know that they have
accomplished their work when	accomplished their work when	accomplished their work when	accomplished their work when	accomplished their work when
they understand and can explain	they understand the problems	the Board has adopted a vision	they have contributed their	there is an accurate representation
to other groups what "School	associated with boundary	for K-5 education, as well as a	advice and articulated with their	of what they see/hear in the
Ready", modernization and	changes and provided advice on	middle school program that is	constituents and each other,	community presented at the TIAT
equity mean as well as the	a comprehensive, district-wide	aligned with the 6-8 vision and	regarding the various areas listed	meetings and, ultimately a written
constraints for each.	boundary configuration that	the District vision.	above.	or verbal response has been
	supports the established criteria.			received by the group(s) where it
				originated.
Meeting Calendar	Meeting Calendar	Meeting Calendar	Meeting Calendar	Meeting Calendar
September 24	September 24*	September 24	September 24*	September 24
September 30.	September 25	September 29	September 29	September 30.
October 23	September 30.	September 30**	September 30.	October 2
December 4	October 2	October 6	October 6	November 20
January 30***	October 9	October 13	October 13	December 18
April 23	October 16	October 20	October 20	January 22
June 4	October 30	November 3	October 27	January 30
	November 6	January 26	November 3	March 26
	November 21	January 30	January 30	April 30
	January 30 ***	February 23		May 21
		March 23		
5:30 - 8:00 p.m.	4:30-7:30 р.т.	5:00-7:00 p.m.	6:00-8:00 р.ш.	6:00-8:00 p.m.

September 24 is a mandatory orientation for all TIAT members. September 30 is a public input meeting mandatory for all TIAT members. January 30 is a second public input meeting mandatory for all TIAT members.

Non-Profit Org. U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 2 San Lorenzo, CA



SAN LORENZO
Unified School District
15510 Usher Street

San Lorenzo, CA 94580

Γ

L

ECRWSS

٦

اـ

;3 ;3

SAN LORENZO SCHOOL DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT

FEBRUARY F	FORUM TRANSFORMATION UPDATE HANDOUT	E HANDOUT	
These decisions have been made:	These decisions have not been made yet:	Decision Timeline	Ways you will be informed of the
DOMAIN AND THE			decision
FACELITES			
Modernization Money: Apply for and obtain approvals for \$16 million in State Building funds.			
Certificate of Deposit: Issue \$16 Million certificates of deposit to leverage (earn interest) on available funds.			
Royal Sunset: Complete School Ready on Royal Sunset High School so that staff can relocate from Bohannon and school can begin there.			
NEW SCHOOL PLANNING			
School Ready: A checklist identifying the needs of classrooms and facilities for the opening of school in September 1998 has been approved.	Furniture/Equipment Textbooks/Library Books/ Materials: A process for distribution is currently being developed.	Late March/early April	Transformation Newsletter, Parent Newsletter
Buildings and Grounds personnel are currently in the process of working to ensure that classrooms and school sites will be ready to accommodate students in an optimal learning environment for the start of school in September, 1998.	Purchase of Equipment/Furniture/ Textbooks/Library Books/Materials: Budgets are currently being finalized for purchases as needed for identified schools.	Late March/early April	Transformation Newsletter, Parent Newsletter



SAN LORENZO SCHOOL DISTRICT PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT **EDUCATIONAL SERVICES**

FEBRUARY FORUM TRANSFORMATION UPDATE HANDOUT

These decisions have been made:	n made: These decisions have not been made Decision	Decision	Ways you will be
	yet:	Timeline	informed of the
NEW SCHOOL PLANNING (Continued)			decision
Modernization: A schedule for the modernization of all schools in the district will be implemented in three phases. This	New Student Walking Roules: A Safety Plan will be developed to ensure that students walking to school can do so safely and to	Late March/early April	Transformation Newsletter, Parent Newsletter
modernization process will result in every school site receiving upgrades to its infrastructure.	ensure that there is adequate adult supervision where appropriate.	April 1998	Public meetings School Newsletters Facilities TIAT members
Interior Paint Colors: Classrooms in the district will be painted with a common trim and base color that will be consistently applied to	Modernization: Commencement date of Phase II for public and staff input.	April 1998	Public meetings School Newsletters Facilities TIAT members
classrooms on an as needed basis.	Commencement date of Phase III for public and staff input.		
STAFFING			
New School Principals: Principals for the new schools (Edendale Middle, Washington Manor Middle, Bohannon Middle, Dayton Elementary, and Grant Elementary) were hired early in the school year and are spending the remainder of this school year planning and preparing for the	Classified Transfer Policy: The transfer policy for classified employees is still under discussion by the School District and the negotiation groups of the 2 classified organizations.	March, 1998	Meetings will be held with classified employee groups.
opening of their individual "new" school sites. SI.E.A. Transfer Policy: The teacher's placement policy has been agreed to by the School District and the San Lorenzo Education Association.	Prep Periods for K-5 and Middle Schools: The School District and SLEA are engaged in ongoing discussions regarding the parameters for prep periods for the K-5 and Middle Schools.	February 27, 1998	Revisions to contract will be distributed to all unit employees.



 $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{S}}$

	SAN LOI TRANS	ENZO UNIFIE ORMING FOF FACIL	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TRANSFORMING FOR THE 21st CENTURY FACILITIES	TRICT	
2	Task Name	Duratic	Start	Finish	"Com
-	F-1 Negotiate Leases	328d	Mon 6/12/97	Wed 9/2/98	7698
7	Establish sites for lease cancellation	11d	Mon 5/12/97	Mon 5/26/97	100%
63	Board approval of lease cancellation	14	Tue 6/17/97	Tue 6/17/97	100%
4	Send lease cancellation notices	3d	Wed 6/18/97	Thu 6/19/97	100%
6	Discusa early move out whenante	ર્જ	Frt 6/20/97	Tue 6/24/97	100%
9	Negotiate move out dates w/tenants	ਲ	Fri 6/20/97	Tue 6/24/97	100%
~	Notify district personnel affected by lease termination	14	Wed 6/25/97	Wed 6/25/97	100%
•	Negotiate new Sunset lease w/East Bay Faith	P00	Fri 6/20/97	Mon 9/15/97	% 0 5
ø,	Obtain quotes from Land Planning re: asset analysis	249	Fri 8/1/97	Thu 9/4/97	100%
10	Analysis of on going leased sites, Adult back acreage & land swap	44d	Fri 9/5/97	Wed 11,5/97	100%
=	Estab. future sch. site needs by reviewing 5-10 yr. enrollment proj.	P06	Wed 10/1/97	Fri 2/13/98	*09
12	Study of 10 acre parcel	P00	Wed 10/1/97	Tue 1/13/98	100%
5	Recommend future use of leased sites, Aduit Sch. excess acreage	2254	Wed 10/1/97	Thu 8/27/96	38%
2	Board approval of future use of leased siles	16	Tue 9/1/38	Tua 9/1/98	*95
5	Negotiate leases completed	8	Wed 9/2/98	Wed 9/2/90	*599
5	F-2 Employ Construction Management Firm	32d	Mon 6/9/97	Wed 7/23/87	100%
17	Request for Proposal distributed	104	Mon 6/9/97	Frt 6/20/97	100%
€	Advertise for RFP's	5	Mon 6/9/97	Frt 6/20/97	100%
2	Review propagals	2d	Mon 6/23/97	Tue 6/24/97	100%
20	Interview firms	1d	76/52/9 pe//.	Wed 6/25/97	100%
2	Board approval for employment of a constrtuction mangement firm	19	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%
22	Negotiate contract w/construction management firm	æ	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/23/97	100%
23	Employment of contruction management firm completed	8	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%
*	i-3 Employ Architect(s)	38d	Tue 6/10/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%
	7.	Facilities - Thayer	ayer		Wed 4/22/58
	£'.('		İ		

26 Advertige 28 Review 27 Interview 28 Recom 29 Board 1 29 Board 30 Negotia 31 Employ 33 Establ	se in newapape/ proposals			1		
<u>.</u>	se in newspaper proposals	Duratic	Start	LINE	% Com	
	view proposals	₩	Tue 6/10/97	Mon 6/23/97	100%	
	instant firms	5d	Tue 6/24/97	Wed 6/25/97	¥001	
<u>.</u>		14	Thu 6/26/97	Thu 6/26/97	100%	
4	Recommendation to Superintendent	1d	Frt 6/27/97	Fr 6/27/97	100%	
4	Board approval for employment of srchiteದ(s)	ħ	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%	
	Negotiate contract	**	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%	
	Employment of Architect(s) completed	8	Wed 7/30/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%	
	F-4 Certificates of Participation Funding	62 d	Mon 6/2/97	Wed 8/27/97	100%	
	Establish emount	21d	Mon 6/2/97	Mon 6/30/97	100%	
88	Board approval to issue COP.s	19	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%	
	Establish time lines to coincide w/Board meetings	4	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%	
	Prepare financial and legal documents	₹	Mon 6/16/97	Mon 7/14/97	100%	
37 Es	Establish date money is available	õ	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/16/97	100%	
	issue Certificates of Participation	14	Wed 7/23/97	Wed 7/23/97	100%	
39 De	Determine process to obtain funds for usa	5	Tue 7/15/97	Mon 7/28/97	100%	
Т	Develop budgets	₹	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%	
	Develop рауглеги process	₹	Thu 7/31/97	Wed 8/27/97	£003	
	COP funding complete	8	Wed 8/27/97	Wed 8/27/97	100%	
Ţ	F. Sunset School Transformation	327d	Mon 6/12/97	Mon 2/31/98	8 4 %	
T	Determine space needed	ð	Mon 6/2/97	Mon 7/14/97	100%	
	Determine school ready needs	94	Mon 5/12/97	Fri 6/20/97	4004	
	Determine construction time lines	8w	Mon 5/12/97	Mon 7/7/97	100%	BEST COPY AVAIL ABLE
	Determine portable classrooms needed	₹	Mon 5/12/97	Frt 6/20/97	100%	
### H	Establish time lines for portable installation	æ	Mon 6/23/97	Mon 7/14/97	7 100%	
	Fa	Facilities - Thayer	ayer			Wed 4/2296

€2

() T

	The state of the s	-			-	
5	Arran	Duratic	Start	Finish	Com	
2	Negotiate new lease w/East Bay Faith	\$	Tue 7/15/97	2/97	180%	
23	Construction phase	1 0 w	Tue 9/2/97	Fri 12/26/97	75%	
£5	Board approval of lease w/ East Bay Fulth	4	Tue 9/16/97	Tue 9/16/97	8	
69	Determine move in date	2 00	Frt 8/1/97	Fri 10/24/97	400¥	
2	Modernization (State) begins, Summer 1953	205	Mon 6/22/96	Mon 8/31/98	30%	
2	Sunset Transformation complete	8	Frl 12/26/97	Frl 12/26/97	84%	
3	F-& Dayton School Transformation	276d	Tue 6/17/97	Fri 7/24/88	38%	
2	Determine move in date	\$	Tue 6/1.7/97	Mon 6/30/97	100%	
25	Negotiate when district can begin work	5	Tue 6/17/97	Mon 6/30/97	100%	
2	Notify construction team	ĵā	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%	
89	Determine school ready needs for Dayton	₹	Tue 7/15/97	Mon 8/11/97	806	
8	Prepare construction/school ready time lines		Tue 7/15/97	Mon 8/11/97	75%	
2	Construction/school ready phase	48w	Tue 7/15/97	Wed 7/1/98	322%	
62	Develop move in plans & time lines	POS	Mon 2/2/98	Tue 3/17/98	804	
2	Construction/school ready complete	14	Thu 7/2/98	Thu 7/2/98	8	
2	Move into Dayton	15d	Mon 7/6/98	Fr 7/2498	ž	
5	Dayton transformation complete	8	Fri 7/24/98	Fri 7/2498	396	
2	F-7 Grant School transformation	266d	Tue 7/1/97	Mon 7/27/98	37%	
29	Negotiate time District can start remodeling	5d	Tue 7/15/97	Wed 7/16/97	300,	,,,,,,
2	Determine school ready needs	ZZ	Tue 7/1/97	Thu 7/31/97	£00¢	
3	Prepare construction/school ready time lines	210	Frl 8/1/97	Fri 8/29/97	100%	
20	Construction/school ready phase	21w	Mon 2/2/96	Thu 7/2/98	15%	
7	Develop move in plans & time lines	90e	Mon 2/2/96	Tue 3/17/96	40%	
72	Construction/school ready complete	10	Mon 7/8/98	Mon 7/6/98	20%	
	Fac	Facilities - Thayer	ıyer			Wed 4/22/98

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TRANSFORMING FOR THE 21st CENTURY FACILITIES

	SAN LOREN.	O UNIFIE	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TRANSFORMING FOR THE 21st CENTURY FACILITIES	TRICT	
و	Tesk Namo	Duratk	Start	Finish	%Com
	Move into Grant School	ফ্র	Tue 7/7/98	Mon 7/27/98	*6
72	Grant transformation complete	8	Mon 7/27/88	Mon 7/27/598	37%
73	F-8 Prepare and implement School Ready Facilities Plan	288d	Mon 6/2/97	Tue 7/28/88	44%
22	Determine site school ready needs	S.	Mon 6/2/97	Mon 7/28/97	%C6
"	Dc armine time line for each project	90	Tue 7/1/87	Tue 8/12/97	%06
22	Assign projects to architects	\$	Tue 7/1/87	Tue 7/15/97	100%
28	Determine start date for projects	₹	Tue 7/1/87	Tue 7/29/97	80%
2	Contract site construction	46	Fri 8/1/97	Tue 7/28/98	25%
2	F-9 TIAT & Traci Budgets	8 4 d	Sun 6/11/97	Mon 9/8/97	100%
82	Identify Funding Source	ħ	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	100%
83	Receive TIAT budgel request	8	Tue 7/15/97	Thu 8/7/97	100%
2	Review requests w/Supt's, Staff	þ	Wed 8/13/97	Wed 8/13/97	100%
20	Inform TIAT point people of approved budget	ħ	Thu 8/14/97	Thu 8/14/97	100%
60	Input budgets in Escape system	à	Mon 9/25/97	Mon 9/8/97	100%
87	TIAT & TraCT budget complete	8	Sun 5/11/97	Sun 5/11/97	100%
	F-10 Construction Management & Communication Plan	7	Tue 7/1/97	Wed 11/12/97	100%
23	Develop meeting schedule for updates	≵	Tue 7/1/97	Tu3 7/15/97	100%
08	Develop schedule for day to day decisions	2w	Frt 8/1/97	Thu 8/14/97	100%
2	Develop plan to communicate progress to TraCT	Σw	Fri 8/1/97	Thu 8/14/97	100%
95	Develop plan to communicate progress to TIAT	Š	Frt 8/1/97	Thu 8/14/97	100%
83	Develop TIAT role/purpose	*	Tue 9/2/97	Mon 9/29/97	100%
3	Develop communictions system	8	Wed 10/1/97	Wed 11/12/97	100%
98	Construction management and communication plan completed	8	Wed 11/12/97	Wed 11/12/97	100%
8	F-11 Update Modernization Application to include Dayton, Grant&Sunset	64d	Mon 6/16/97	Mon 9/16/97	100%
	g.	liftles - Th	Facilities - Thayer - Page 4		Wed 4/22/98

.

Ш
ايب
\odot
~
=
\leq
\geq
A
>
Ω.
\circ
Ö
<u> </u>
လ
Lil
\mathbf{a}

g	Te st Numb	Duratic	Start	rinisi	Com-	
28	Determine Square footage allowance for each school	×	Mon 6/16/97	787	100%	
ස	Update facility five year plan	Ř	Mon 6/16/97	Fri 6/27/97	\$00	
25	Update all state forms to include 3 schools	<u>γ</u>	Mon 6/16/97	Fri 6/27/97	\$00,	
100	Modify application to 50/50 status	*	Mon 6/16/97	Fri 6/27/97	100%	
101	Reforcast 5 year enrollment projections	Ŗ	Mon 6/16/97	Frt 6/27/97	8	
102	Board approval of application	19	Tue 7/1/97	Tue 7/1/97	%00	
103	Submit application to attate	*	Wed 7/2/97	Wed 7/9/97	2 00	
章	Provide copies to CM firm & Thayer of lines 85,96,99	₩ 4	Mon 8/18/97	Mon 9/15/97	100%	
106	Modernization Update Completed	8	Mon 9/15/97	Mon 9/15/97	100%	
90	F-12 Facility TIAT	909	Tue 9/2/97	Mon 11/10/97	7001	
107	Define role	₩	Tue 9/2/97	Mon 9/29/97	400	
108	Develop communication process	**	Tue 9/2/97	Mon 9/29/97	%	
108	Plan agenda for first meeting	wt	Wed 9/24/97	Tue 9/30/97	100%	
5	Orientation meeting	4	Wed 10/1/97	Tue 10/7/97	100 %	
Ξ	Schedule future meeting dates & times	1w	Wed 10/1/97	Tue 10/7/97	4004	
112	Davetop follow-up procedures to test effectiveness of communiction	PQE	Tue 9/30/97	Mon 11/10/97	100%	
113	Facility TIAT organization complete	8	Mon 11/10/97	Mon 11/10/97	300	
=======================================	F-13 Business Services impact	278d	Mon 6/16/97	Wed 7/29/98	% %	
118	Develop state coded for new sited	2w	Thu 7/17/97	Wed 7/30/97	100%	B (94 BAVA >000 F00 B
118	Upgrade central telephone system	₩O	Mon 6/16/97	Mon 8/25/97	\$6	DEG COLL MANDALES
117	Install telephones at new sites	37w	Tue 8/26/97	Wed 5/27/98	3%	
=======================================	Inventory site furniture & equipment	25	Wed 10/1/97	Fri 12/5/97	400	
110	Establish furniture and equipment noods for each site	10d	Mon 12/8/97	Frl 12/19/97	400	
120	Develop reallocation plan for existing furniture.	90g	Mon 12/22/87	Mon 3/9/86	50%	
	2.0	Facilities - Thayer	ayer -			03 Wed 42288

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TRANSFORMING FOR THE 21st CENTURY FACILITIES

			2000			
ō	Task Name	Duratic	Start	Finish	%Com	
121	Purchase new furniture & equipment	POS	Tue 3/10/98	Tue 5/19/98	75%	
122	Develop storage for new furniture & equipment	PQ.	Fri 1/23/98	Fri 5/22/88	%06 %06	
123	Determine enrollments for all sites	*	Mon 12/1/97	Mon 12/29/97	100%	
124	Develop budgets for new attes/programs	8	Mon 1/5/98	Wed 4/1/98	3608	
125	Reallocate current district funds to each site	W\$	Wed 4/1/98	Wed 4/29/96	%06	
126	Reseign employees in Escape system	₹	Wed 7/1/96	Wed 7/29/98	36%	
127	Business Services impact tasks complete	8	Wed 7/29/56	Wed 7/29/98	9,99	
128	F=14 Relocation to Sunset	108d	Tue 8/5/97	Mon 1/12/98	100%	
129	Determine Sunset move in date	P39	Tue 8/5/97	Tue 10/28/97	100%	
130	Establish Lloyd as site relocation coordinator	1w	Mon 9/8/97	Fri 9/12/97	100%	
131	Establish relocation plan & time lines	3	Mon 9/15/97	Frt 9/19/97	100%	
132	Inventory furniture & equipment	₹	Mon 9/22/97	Frt 10/17/97	100%	
133	Establish room assignments/usage	**	Mon 10/13/97	Fri 11/7/97	100%	
2	Determine furnitu. and equipment to be moved	W-7	Wed 10/15/97	Wed 11/12/97	100%	
136	Select boxes & moving materials	•	Mon 9/15/97	Fri 10/10/97	100%	
136	Order boxes & moving material	2w	Mon 10/13/97	Fri 10/24/97	100%	
137	Hire moving firm	ž	Mon 10/13/97	Fri 10/31/97	100%	
138	Distribute boxes & moving malerials	¥.	Mon 11/17/97	F п 11/21/97	100%	
139	Order 32 gal. trawn containers	2w	Mon 11/17/97	Tue 12/2/97	100%	
₹	Arrange for large dumpster @ BHS	*	Mon 11/10/97	Mon 11/17/97	100%	
17	Sunset construction/school ready phase	10€	Tue 9/2/97	Fri 12/26/97	300 000	BEST COPY AVAIUABLE
142	Pack & prepare to move	234	Mon 11/17/97	Fri 12/19/97	100%	
143	Clean & final preparation of Sunset	ĝ	Mon 128/97	Fri 12/19/97	100%	
2	Move from BHS to Sunset	4	Fri 12/19/97	Wed 12/24/97	100%	
		Facilities - Thayer	ayer			Wed 4/22/98

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TRANSFORMING FOR THE 21st CENTURY

11.

ဋ	Task Name	uratk	Duratic Start	Finish	%Com	{
4.	Relocation follow-up activities	₹	Frt 12/26/97	Mon 1/12/58	100%	
146	Relocation to Sunset complete	8	0d Mon 1/12/98	Mon 1/12/98 100%	\$0	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Facilities - Thayer

12

Wed 4/22/98

INFORMATIONAL EVENTS

ji lecanfozvat chtifizvised (tom MinB-sci D11)





RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING NEW SCHOOL BOUNDARIES



The state of the s

C)

Presented by

Janis A. Duran Superintendent

January 20, 1998







BOUNDARY TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAM

Charge:

The purpose of the Boundaries Transformation Implementation Advisory Team (TIAT) was to provide advice on changes to the current elementary boundary lines and the boundary lines for the three new middle schools.

Outcomes:

The outcomes of the Boundaries TIAT were to understand the issues and problems associated with boundary changes and to provide advice on a comprehensive, district-wide boundary configuration that supports the established criteria.

Process:

The first meeting of the TIAT on September 24, 1997, was an Orientation Session during which TIAT members identified the purpose and outcomes of their work, determined domains of responsibility, shared understandings, and outlined how they would know when they had accomplished their purpose.

At the Orientation Session, and during the next ten TIAT meetings and five Community Forums, TIAT members reviewed and discussed the recommendation of the Facilities Planning Group, the decision of the Board of Education to establish three middle schools and nine elementary schools, and the issues and problems associated with boundary changes. The TIAT received and discussed information concerning enrollment projection, the capacity of each school, the demographics of the student population, geographic implications, and transportation issues. Members then identified important points to be considered when making their final recommendation. Preliminary recommendations were then developed and presented at Community Forums. Input gathered from community forums was reviewed and discussed at TIAT meetings, Board of Education Study Workshops, and TraCT meetings. Additional TIAT meetings and Community Forums were added as needed.

Members:

Cindy Little, Account Clerk/Parent
Mary Wright, Parent/SRC
Doreen Jardin, Parent/Instructional Assistant
David Granados, Parent
Krystal Bracy, Student
Jodi Lytel, Administrator
Laden Malek, Teacher
Marsha Sciortino, School Office Manager
Marilyn Stewart, Teacher/Parent
Lori Lerner, Administrator
Angelo Madrigal, Point Person
Tom Michell, Consultant

BOUNDARY TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAM

TIMELINE

September 24, 1997 General Orientation of TIAT members

- Purpose/Outcomes
- Plan
- Who we are

September 25, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Direction from Facilities Planning Group and Board of Education
- Understanding the issues and problems associated with boundary changes

September 30, 1997 Community Forum to receive input about people's ideas, concerns and questions

October 2, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- · School capacity
- · Geographical limitations
- Transportation
- Communicate with constituency

October 9, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Enrollment projections
- Demographics

October 16, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Critique and discuss Middle School Options
- Develop Middle School Options
- Start Elementary School Options

October 23, 1997 TIAT Meeting

• Identify most favorable Elementary Options

October 30/November 6, 1997 TIAT Meetings

Elementary Options workshops

November 13, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Finalize Elementary and Middle School Options
- Organize for Community Input Meeting

November 18, 1997 TIAT Meeting

Finalize Options and community input format

November 19, 1997 Community Forum at Arroyo High School

November 20, 1997 Community Forum at San Lorenzo High School

November 25, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Respond to community input
- Adjust boundary Options

December 1, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- Finalize boundary Options for December community input meetings
- Plan format

December 3, 1997 Community Forum at Arroyo High School
December 4, 1997 Community Forum at San Lorenzo High School

December 5, 1997 TraCT

- · Reviewed Options and community input forms
- Develop recommendations for TIAT

December 9, 1997 TIAT Meeting

- · Review and discuss community input
- Final recommendations to TraCT

December 11, 1997 TraCT

• Finalize recommendations to Superintendent/Board of Education

December 15, 1997	Board Study Session to review final recommendations
December 16, 1997	Regular Board Meeting - 7:30 p.m., 1st reading
January 6, 1998	Public Input/Study Session
January 12, 1998	TraCT review all boundaries and community input
January 13, 1998	Special Board Study Session of all boundaries with additional community input
January 15, 1998	TraCT review of boundaries and community input
January 16, 1998	TraCT/Public review of boundaries including Grant proposal
January 18, 1998	TraCT review of all options and to finalize recommendations
January 20, 1998	Regular Board Meeting - 7:30 p.m., Final decision by Board of Education



SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOUNDARY

TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAM

STATISTICS

Based on 984 hours:

54 meeting hours, 18 Individual Study hours, 120 Planning hours →

- Analysis
- Discussion
- Study of data
- Responding to input
- ♦ Research
- ♦ 4 Public Input Sessions
- ♦ 500 individual pages of input from community meetings
- ♦ 12 Elementary Options developed



SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOUNDARY

TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAM

VARIABLES CONSIDERED FOR EACH SCHOOL IN DEVELOPMENT OF BOUNDARY OPTIONS

- Major roads in the area
- Housing density
- Location
- Elementary Capacity 5571
- Middle School Capacity 2669
- Restrictions
- Access to Transportation
- Assets
- Socio Economic
- Diversity
- Background Information/History of School
- Other

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY TRANSFORMATION IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY TEAM

December 9, 1597 Closing Statements by Members

- 1. We demonstrated the ability to solve difficult problems.
- The District needed to change and it is good.
- 3. Great! I'm glad to be a participant and to work with the group.
- Enjoyed working with all of you.
- 5. Good food was always at our meetings waiting for us.
- We accomplished a lot.
- 7. We worked together to realize options and sort problems.
- 8. Appreciated varying principles people were committed to.
- 9. Grateful to be part of this group.
- 10. We worked hard on what appeared to be an impossible task.
- 11. We came together as a hard working problem-solving group.
- 12. An example of commitment.
- 13. We needed the energy of all group members.
- 14. Proud of our efforts.

- 15. Great cooperation and hard work.
- 16. Leadership of Cathy and Angelo in keeping us going in the right direction.
- 17. Dealt with very complex issues and did our best.
- 18. We looked at the big picture.
- 19. We finished as a group working together to solve a big issue.
- 20. People that attended the forum seemed appreciative of the work we did.
- 21. Perseverance: We didn't give up; we made a huge time commitment and did our best work.
- 22. We got to know the wonderful people of our community.
- 23. We did a good job and became a positive spokesperson for our district.
- 24. Proud of the options we developed.
- 25. Happy to be in a district that values a varied group of individuals to make an important recommendation.



Boundaries TIAT January 20, 1998

For the past 4 months we have had the privilege of participating in a decision making process which due to the leadership of our Superintendent, Janis Duran, has become the back bone of the San Lorenzo Unified School District.

The Boundaries TIAT is comprised of students, parents, certificated and classified personnel and administrators who came together as strangers, representatives of different stakeholders and different neighborhoods with very different perspectives. Yet, with one common goal, to serve all of the children in our district.

We established our criteria: neighborhood schools, minimal bussing, diversity and equity and began our task of realigning the boundaries for 12 schools.

We faced the first public forums with some trepidation; however, at those meetings we met warm wonderful people. Hundreds of concerned citizens came to listen to our presentations, and accepted our challenge to assist us in creating the boundaries. They went to the maps, asked insightful questions, voiced their concerns, and offered constructive verbal and written feedback.

We were gratified by their response and used it to expand our thinking and to improve our original options.

At this time, we would like to publicly thank those community members who took the time to participate in this decision making process.

We went back to the drawing board. In order to complete our task, we asked for and were supplied information in a timely manner. After having considered this information and all of the input we received from the community, we believe Middle School Option A and Elementary Option 4 support the entire transformation process, and represent our best thinking, our best work, and the best option to serve all of the children in the district.

We did not take this task lightly. We recognized from the beginning that we would not be able to please everyone. We kept the best interest of all of our students in the forefront of our thinking.


We are a unique district, in that we have a process in place, wherein all of the stakeholders of a community can voice their concerns and participate in making a decision of this magnitude. Other districts do not do this. We are grateful for the opportunity to have participated in this TIAT and we trust that the Board will honor the process and accept our work in good faith.



RECOMMENDATION 1.0 MIDDLE SCHOOL BOUNDARIES

- WHEREAS the Boundary Transformation Implementation Advisory Team (TIAT) spent a total of 984 hours building a knowledge base, establishing criteria, analyzing, discussing, researching and studying data and,
- WHEREAS the Boundary TIAT held four Community Forums and a Special Study Session to gather over 500 individual pages of input from the community and,
- WHEREAS as a result, the Boundary TIAT has recommended two middle school options (A & B) for consideration and,
- WHEREAS the Transformation Implementation Coordination Team (TraCT) having reviewed the Boundary TIAT's options has recommended Option A for the following reasons:
 - Clearly delineated boundary lines
 - Balances all middle school enrollments
 - Keeps all middle schools enrollments under 1,000
 - Grant Elementary students attend same middle school
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that Option A be selected as the middle school boundaries to become effective September 1998.
- PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 20th day of January 1998.






RECOMMENDATION 2.0 K-5 ELEMENTRY SCHOOL BOUNDARIES

- WHEREAS the Boundary Transformation Implementation Advisory Team (TIAT) spent a total of 984 hours building a knowledge base, establishing criteria, analyzing, discussing, researching and studying data and,
- WHEREAS the Boundary TIAT held four Community Forums and a Special Study Session to gather over 500 individual pages of input from the community and,
- WHEREAS as a result, the Boundary TIAT has recommended three K-5 options (1, 4, 5) for consideration,
- WHEREAS the Transformation Implementation Coordination Team (TraCT) having reviewed the Boundary TIAT's options, has recommended Option 4 with an addendum that allows Fairmont/Fairmont Terrace residents a choice of attending Grant (60 students maximum) or Corvallis for the following reasons:
 - Balanced enrollment allows space at all sites for growth
 - No one school is overcrowded
 - Transportation in Option 4 is doable efficient and less expensive
 - Maintains the core attendance area immediately around each site
 - Reflects input of public attendees at Community Forums
 - Strongest support from TIAT after 984 plus hours of research, analysis and discussion
 - Given physical constraints of District, this option best addresses the safety issues
 - Provides a choice for attendance area without core community school
 - Provides for the least disruption of boundaries of school sites in the future
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that Option 4 be selected as the K-5 boundaries to become effective September 1998.
- PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 20th day of January 1998.






RECOMMENDATION 3.0 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE BOUNDARIES

- WHEREAS the Transformation Coordination Team (TraCT) has recommended guidelines to permit a limited number of current 4th grade (1998-1999 5th grade) students to remain at their current school and,
- WHEREAS TraCT has recommended that the current open enrollment policy not change and that the District honor open enrollment transfers approved in prior years and,
- WHEREAS TraCT recommends that the Administration continue to study, analyze and develop a transportation plan based on the newly established elementary and middle school boundaries and that special consideration be given to establish a maximum walking distance for K-5 and 6-8 students by the Spring of 1998 and,
- WHEREAS TraCT recommends that the District study safety and supervision of all new walking routes of students on instructional days,
- NOW, THEREFORE, it is recommended by the District Superintendent that the recommendations approved by TraCT and contained in this resolution be approved by the Board of Education.
- PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education on the 20th day of January 1998.

The Enormity of the Transformation Tasks for 1997-98



RELOCATION IMPLICATIONS ONLY

Please note this list covers tasks relating to Relocation only. It does not cover areas such as Curriculum Design, Inservice Training, Boundaries, etc.

1. Student Relocation

- 3962 students will be relocated to a different school
 - 170 Bohannon High students to Royal Sunset High
 - > 1605 K-5
 - > 2187 Middle School
- 2514 Middle School students will need to be programmed into classes
- 771 students and parents will need to be notified of 1998-99 school placement

2. Teacher Relocation


- 157 teachers will change work location
 - 12 from Bohannon High to Sunset High
 - 25 from San Lorenzo and Arroyo High Schools to the three Middle Schools
 - > 50 current 6th and 7th grade teachers to Middle Schools
 - > 31 current K-5 teachers from Edendale to other K-5 schools
 - 19 current K-5 teachers from Washington Manor to K-5 schools
 - 20 current K-5 teachers from Bay, Colonial Acres, Corvallis, Del Rey, Hesperian, Hillside, Lorenzo Manor to compensate for anticipated boundary changes

3. School Office Set-Ups

- 21 Offices need to be furnished and moved into
 - > 4 Main School Offices
 - > 4 Principals
 - > 4 Vice-Principals
 - > 3 Counselors
 - > 3 Attendance Offices
 - >> 3 Psychologist Offices
- Plus work space for Speech Therapists, Miller-Unruh, Campus Supervisors, School Resource Officer

Classroom Relocation

- 183 classrooms will be relocated
- 3410 student desks will need to be relocated and/pr purchased, assembled and delivered
- 5. Relocation of Instructional Books, Materials and Supplies
 - 10,690 boxes will be used during relocation
 - 6720 for relocating teachers and classroom textbooks, materials and supplies
 - > 3150 for relocating libraries
 - > 820 for relocating offices
- New Employees (does not include new hires due to retirements or resignations)
 - 54 Certificated
 - > 18 Teachers for Middle Schools
 - > 26 Teachers for 20:1 expansion
 - ➤ 3 Librarians
 - > 3 Counselors
 - ➤ 4 Vice Principals
 - 40 Classified Employees
 - > 9 Custodians
 - ➤ 3 Secretaries
 - → 9 Clerks
 - > 7 Cafeteria Helpers
 - > 12 Lunch Period Supervisors



MANAGEMENT'S LEADERSHIP ROLE IN IMPLEMENTATION of the TRANSFORMATION

1. Administration will be focusing on the following during the next two months:


THOSE ON LEARNING CURVE ----

- A. **139** Teachers new to San Lorenzo Unified School District.
- B. 202 Teachers in a new school.
- C. 102 Veteran teachers in a different grade level.
- D. 65 Classified staff members in new school all new.
- E. 4 Clerical staff in new assignment.
- F. **5,320** Students attending a different school.
- G. Approximately **3,300** pieces of furniture will be moved between sites before school starts. (565 tables, 2,600 chairs, 135 bookcases.)
- H. There are **89** contractors and subcontractors who must coordinate their trades in order to complete the Phase I school modernization projects.
- An estimated 2,000 children will be in interim housing between the start of school and winter break while their permanent classrooms are being modernized.
- J. Approximately **4,000** individual pieces of furniture/ equipment will be researched, priced and ordered before the start of school. This does not include instructional materials or office/classroom supplies.





- II. It will NOT be Business as Usual. --
 - ✓ There will be mistakes.
 - ✓ Students will show up at the wrong school.
 - Busing routes have been based on educated estimates, but will have problems.
 - ✓ Staff will not fully face reality until they return emotions will be high.
 - ✓ Some furniture/supplies will not arrive on time.
 - ✓ Not all interdistrict attendance agreements will be approved. Some of these students may have attended San Lorenzo Unified School District in the past.
 - ✓ Phones may not all be installed.
 - ✓ It will be noisy, disruptive.
 - III. In view of your role as a leader in the District, what will be your response during this time period?
 - How can you resolve issues/problems, and not promote "Shame and Blame"?
 - How can we all work together to have successful implementation?
 - How can we avoid people from retreating in fear at a time of GREAT CHANGE?
 - How can your leadership actions during this transition set a positive culture
 that promotes implementation of the Board's mission?



MGMTROLE8-98



San Lorenzo Unified School District

BOARD OF EDUCATION Norman D. Fobert, President William A. Santora, Vice President/Clerk Betty L. Moose Helen T. Randall Barbara J. Sidar

SUPERINTENDENT Janis A. Duran

ASSOCIATE SUPERINTENDENT Lawrence P. Maniscalco ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENTS Amie Glassberg, Business Services Stephen L. Ow, Personnel Services

SUPERINTENDENT'S LETTER — AUGUST, 1998

TO:

Parents, Citizens and Staff

FROM:

Janis Duran, District Superintendent

SUBJECT:

TRANSFORMATIONS/THANKS/

COOPERATION AND TEAM WORK



First Day of School

- The 1998-99 school year commences on September 14.
- School Offices will be open September 10.

Modernization Underway — See Them Completed by the End of Next Summer

The modernization of Dayton (K-5), Grant (K-5) and the middle schools: Bohannon (6-8), Edendale (6-8), and Washington Manor (6-8) are underway. Remember, the modernization of each school is a year long project. The schools will be ready for the opening of school! There will be temporary (interim housing) portables added to the site while one wing at a time is modernized. When one wing is finished, the students move into their modernized rooms. This process, utilized by districts throughout the state, is then repeated with students from the next wing and so on until all work is completed.

Safety During Modernization

All wings being modernized will be encircled by temporary fencing. When one wing is finished, the fence is moved to encircle the next wing. All construction workers will be wearing identification tags. All workers at each site will be reminded they are role models and to keep language and manners appropriate for a school.

Construction Manager and State Building Inspector will be on each school site. The Manager is an employee of Kitchell CEM (one of the 75 largest construction management firms in the United States) and the Inspector is licensed by the state and employed by the district.

15510 Usher Street, San Lorenzo, CA 94580-1623 • (510) 317-4600

Interim Housing: will be on each site until May or June of 1999 and then it will disappear (probably to a school in Phase II of the district's modernization program.

See Us Next Summer: could be the theme of each of the five schools in Phase 1 of the modernization projects. In addition to mechanical repairs each school will be painted inside and out.

Cooperation: Your cooperation, patience and flexibility will be needed and appreciated during the year. It will be worth it!

Communication: Kitchell staff will be providing the school principals frequent information for inclusion in school newsletters.

Standards and Safety: The same high education standards will be expected of students. The same excellent educational program will be operational and the schools will be safe.

Education is paramount: During the year of modernization every effort will be rnade to minimize disruption of the school — but there will be some. Every effort will be made by the principal, teachers and support staff to maintain the high quality of learning experiences for all students.

Remember: It will all be worth it!

Phase II Modernization: Architects are busy drawing the plans for the modernization of: Bay, Colonial Acres, Corvallis, Del Rey, Hesperian, Hillside and Lorenzo Manor and more work at Royal Sunset.

Much has been going on this Summer

The unofficial motto stated in *Transformations* (the district newsletter) "Keeping the Best and Improving the Rest" has never been more true. Just a few of the items underway this summer are listed:

- Continued development and refinement of the new middle school program.
- Implementation of Class Size Reduction (20:1) in Kindergarten, First, Second and Third Grades (employ more teachers, purchase equipment & furniture).
- Implementation of an expanded program to provide new teachers, and teachers new to the district, more support and assistance.
- Analysis of test results and development of priorities for improved instruction.
- Relocation of books, furniture and equipment for the new grade level reconfiguration of K-5, 6-8, and 9-12 for district schools.
- Adoption of new reading textbooks for the elementary schools.

"Curiosity is the first rung on the ladder to learning."

Anonymo's

- \$450,000 Grant for Healthy Start at San Lorenzo High School
- · Development and adoption of a new Safety Handbook for Students.
- Installation of irrigation for the playgrounds of the last three schools (Bohannon, Grant and Royal Sunset) without systems — better playgrounds.

Transformations

The results of the reader survey were enthusiastically in support of continuing "Transformations" the district newsletter and the Superintendent's Annual Report. There will be six issues of Transformations instead of seven, a budget savings. The first issue will be out approximately August 25.

Have a Great Summer

I hope you and your family have a great summer. I know that the 1998-99 school year will be a great one for the students who attend our schools. We are transforming our schools because our children deserve the best.

"Ehange is not made without inconvenience, even from worse to better." Samual Johnson, 1755

"It cost more to amuse a child now than it cost to educate his father."

Anonymous



Superintendent

Summer School Programs

Summer School programs at both the elementary and secondary levels were offered this year at Hesperian School and at Arroyo High School. An initial enrollment of approximately 1300 students attended the sessions. Elementary students in grades 3-6 attended classes from June 22-July 24. Secondary students in grades 7-12 attended classes from June 22-July 31.

Both programs focused on skills building, particularly in Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, and Science. At the elementary level, teachers and students created a program centered on the theme, "Cruisin". Classes adopted mascots and class names, and staff gave motivational awards for good attendance and citizenship. At the elementary level, Migrant Education classes, English Language Learner, and Special Education classes were also held. Principal of the elementary summer school session was David Gold. Tina Pomroy was a Teacher In Charge of the Special Education program.

At the secondary level, students in grades 9-12 took classes to make up credits for graduation or to improve transcript grades for college. High school students were required to complete 60 hours of actual instruction in order to obtain credit. In grades 7 and 8, students worked to improve study skills, reading comprehension, and calculation skills prior to moving on to the high school program in the Fall. Seventh and eighth graders were enrolled in summer school classes upon the recommendation of their teachers and parents. Teachers supplemented core curriculum with guest speakers, library field trips, and inter class competitions to keep students motivated.

Summer School Coordinator, Richard Lloyd, was the secondary principal.



SAN LORENZO Unified School District 15510 Usher Street San Lorenzo, CA 94580

Non-Profit Org. U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 2 San Lorenzo, CA

ECRWSS

"We are transforming our schools because our children deserve the best."

Janis Duran Superintendent

Superintendent's Letter

What's In this Issue:

Page 1

Opening Day Modernization Underway Safety During Modernization

Page 2

Phase II Modernization Much Has Been Going On

Page 3

Transformations
Have a Great Summer

Page 4

Summer Schools

Kruir w

HAKAN BENKERT - State

The lobby at Washington Manor Middle

School is filled

during the first

day of class in

Unified School

configuration.

District in a new

the San Lorenzo

students

grade

registering

with parents and

Cathy von lbsch, coordinator of special projects for the San Lorenzo school district, hands over an identification card to seventh-grader Patrick Lim on Monday, the first day of fall semester at Washington Manor Middle School.

Schools open with few problems

By Carolyn R. Saraspi STAFF MRITER

SAN LORENZO LUTCHING their class schedules in one hand with books and binders in the other, hundreds of flustered students wandered the halls of the three new middle schools that opened on the first day of classes here Monday

Most of the students were part of the 5.300 who are taking classes at different campuses this year, thanks to the San Lorenzo Unified School District's reconfiguration to K-5 elementary 6-8 middle and 9-12 high schools

Schools had been under a K-7, 8-12 grade formation the past 17 years

"I'm kind of nervous, but I'm ex-cited at the same time," said Sade Saumon, one of the late registrants who crewded into Washington Manor Middle School's office Monday inorning

Washington Manor Bohannon and



Edendale schools were converted to middle schools to help the district deal with steady enrollment growth during the past few years. Dayton and Grant elementary schools also reopened Monday to allow for more primary grade classrooms with 20 students or fewer

Some students will move from room to room on each of the five new campuses as construction crews continue modernization work through December

That didn't seem to bother Jimin. Ying, who started tourth grade at Dayton after attending the old Wash ington Manor Elementary School last

"I picked to go to this school," said Ying, 9 "My dad said Corvallis was

Please sec Schools, LOCAL-2

Schools: Kids, teachers shuffled

Continued from LOCAL-1

the closest school, but I wanted to come here because school is new, it's great."

Students aren't the only ones being shuffled around this year.

More than 200 teachers and 3,300 pieces of furniture were moved to different campuses for the start of the fall semester. according to district figures.

Despite all the changes, the start of classes Monday went off without the glitches that admin-Istrators had expected.

"It went incredibly smooth— it's almost scary," said Diane Cariss, Washington Manor's principal.

"I anticipated a lot of late registering and a lot of problems. but that didn't happen.

"The biggest glitch was that we're on a short schedule today and the bells are still on the long schedule."

District officials had warned the Board of Education that teachers at Bohannon and Grant might have to use cellular phones because of delays in installing the new school telephone systems.

But crews worked through the weekend on the system, and only a few phones in portable classrooms were having trouble. officials said.

"We were very, very please t." Superintendent Janis Duran said. "It was a very smooth, successful opening. I can't say enough.

97





Charlotte Davis



Lorenzo Manor, Charlotte Davis's K-2 Class

Teacher of the Year for SLzUSD

Special Edition TRANSFORMATIONS

Keeping the Best - Improving the Rest

Invitation to San Lorenzo Parents/Community Members

This Special Edition of Transformations is intended and designed to inform our community about our exciting plans for development of Strategic Plan II. We are looking for individuals who are willing to invest some time in working on one of the Research and Development Groups. Members need to be open to studying complex issues and willing to share their ideas on how to continue to best serve our students. If you find the work of a Group of interest to you, please fill-out the sign-up sheet found on page 6 of this issue and either turn it in to your local school site or mail the completed form to the

San Lorenzo Unified School District Attention: Jane Tom, Educational Services 15510 Usher Street San Lorenzo CA 94580-1623

Questions can be addressed to Jane Tom at (510) 317-4701.

Thank you in advance for considering participating in this important work.

Sincerely Janis Duran, Superintendent

STRATEGIC PLAN II WORK BEGINS

Since 1994, the work of the San Lorenzo Unified School District has been guided by the Mission, Vision, Values, Goals and Objectives of the Strategic Plan. Developed over 18 months with the input of community, this Plan led to changes that have included the:

- establishment of Site Representative Councils at all schools
- implementation of 20:1 in 182 classrooms for all K 3 students
- stronger ties with local businesses service providers and community organizations
- installation of voice mail and telephones in classrooms
- training for teachers in early literacy strategies
- development and implementation of Human Relations Plans at each school
- reconfiguration of the school district to the new K-5, 6-8 and 9-12.

As more and more of the goals became accomplish-

ments, it was clear the time had come to begin work on Strategic Plan II.

A Design Team began work in January 1998, to map out a plan to accomplish this task so that Strategic Plan II can be approved by the Board in July 1999. Rather than nine areas of emphasis, the new plan will concentrate on three:

- Student Achievement
- Student Housing
- Human Relations

As was the case for the original Plan, the Goals and Targets for each of these areas will be developed with community involvement. This **special edition** of *Transformations* contains information on how our community can become involved in the development of Strategic Plan II—so that we continue our work of "Keeping the Best, Improving the Rest for Greater Student Achievement".

ISSUE #11 December 1998

The Official Newsletter of the San Lorenzo Unified School District



ISSUE #11 December 1998

Research And Development Groups

The main work of the Research and Development Groups is to recommend Goals and Targets in response to the Strategic Questions in their Focus Area. These Goals and Targets will be the platform to achieve the Mission, Vision, Values and student Outcomes of the Strategic Plan.

To complete this work each group members are expected to:

- Attend all meetings
- Read the information provided
- · Participate in discussions
- Work together in a cooperative spirit
- Abide by the ground rules established by the group
- Support the decisions made through the consensus process
- Adhere to the Board adopted parameters, Federal and State regulations and union contracts
- Use a polling process to identify recommendations
- Adhere to the board approved timeline established for completion of work.

Tentative Timeline for Board Review of Research and Development Work

February 16, 1999: Review of Research Report

April 20, 1999: Review of Goals and Targets

June 15, 1999, First Reading of Action Plans

July 6, 1999: Board Approval of Strategic Plan II



Corvallis 2nd Grade Jaci France, Teacher Alex Asefi, Student



Corvallis
David Dalton & Students
5th Grade Vegetable Garden Project



STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP

The group will answer the Strategic Questions:

- How can we ensure all students meet the Board approved academic standards?
- How can we ensure all students achieve 21st Century skills?
- How can we use data from our multiple measures to guide all site and district decisions?

The group will not:

- Write/revise Content Standards for curricular areas or curriculum adoptions
- Expand the parameters of the research
- Expand the time frame for the development of Goals, Targets, and Action Plans
- Make recommendations contrary to Board policy and/or state mandates.

Meeting Times
5:00-8:00 p.m
A light dinner will be served at 4:45 p.m. on:

	Prince Ore
January 12	March 24
January 20	April 21
February 3	May 5
February 24	May 19
March 3	May 26

	<u>Providers</u>		Receivers
1.	K-5 Teacher	1.	Parent K-5
2.	K-5 RSP Teacher	2.	Parent 6-8
3.	6-8 Teacher	3.	Parent 9-12
4.	9-12 Teacher	4.	Student K-5
5.	K-5 Administrator	5.	Student 6-8
6.	6-8 Administrator	6.	Student 9-12
7.	9-12 Administrator	7.	Bilingual Parent
8.	Middle or High school Counselor	8.	Alternative Program Parent
9.	CSEA *-Instructional Assistant	9.	Rep. From Higher Education
10.	SEIU *	10.	Rep. From Business

STUDENT HOUSING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP

The group will answer the Strategic Questions:

- How can the District accommodate projected enrollment growth for the year 2000 and beyond?
- What are the District's available/potential resources to meet housing needs for students, Preschool through Adult?
- How can the District meet our facility needs while working within legal requirements and parameters?

The group will not:

- Focus on curriculum, assessment and instruction
- Discuss which students would go to what school
- Address middle and high school facility needs.

	Me	etir	ig Ti	mes	
	5:0	0-8	3:00	p.m	
A	ligh	t d	linner	will	be
ser	ved	at	4:45	n.m.	OB

January 12	April I
January 14	April 15
January 21	May 6
February 4	May 11
March 4	May 20
March 9	May 27

<u>Providers</u>	<u>Receivers</u>
2. K-5 Teacher	1. Bohannon Student
4. 6-8 Teacher	Bohannon Attendance Area Parent
6. 9-12 Teacher	Bohannon Attendance Area Parent
8. CSEA* School Site person	4. Edendale Stud-nt
10. SEIU*	5. Edendale Attendance Area Parent
11. K-5 Administrator	6. Edendale Attendance Area Parent
12. 6-8 Administrator	7. Washington Manor Student
13. 9-12 Administrator	Washington Manor Attendance Area Parent
*CSEA is the California School Employees	Washington Manor Attendance Area Parent
Association	10. Community - Edendale
*SEIU is the United Public Employees Local 790	11. Community - Bohannon
SEIU, AFL/CIO	12. Community - Washington Manor
	13. Representative appointed by RAFTA

HUMAN RELATIONS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP

The group will answer the Strategic Questions:

- How can we create a climate in our schools which promotes an understanding and respect for our diverse school community (students, parents and staff)?
- How can we increase parent/community involvement in our schools?

The group will not:

- Expand the research parameters
- Expand the time frame for the development of Goals, Targets, and Action Plans.
- Develop additional strategic questions.

Meeting Times 6:00-7:30 p.m A light dinner will be served at 5:30 p.m. on:

January 12	March 16
January 25	March 30
January 26	April 26
February 1	April 27
February 8	May 3
February 22	May 10
February 23	May 17
March 9	May 25

<u>Providers</u>	Receivers
1. K-5 Teacher	1. Student Elem.
2. 6-8 Teacher	Student Middle School
3. 9-12 Teacher	3. Student High School
4. K-5 Administrator	4. Parent Elem.
5. 6-8 Administrator	5. Parent Middle School
6. 9-12 Administrator	6. Parent High School
7. CSEA assigned to school site	7. Parent Alternative Programs
8. CSEA assigned to the District Office	8. Student Middle School/Alternative Program
SEIU (Either from a school site or the District Office)	9. School Resource Officer
	10. Royal Sunset Parent
	11. Community
RESOURCES for Group Counselors Psychologists Campus Supervisors	Parent/community representatives are asked to indicate if they have Human Relations experience or experience as a school Lunch Period Supervisor.



First Grade, Laura Gonsalves Room, Lorenzo Manor



Selection Process

The composition of the Research and Development Groups and the process for selecting the members was Board approved on November 3, 1998. In keeping with the established practice, employees will be identified for Provider positions, while parents, students and community will be identified for Receiver positions. The following selection process for identifying the members of both Providers and Receivers was developed by the Planning to Plan committee.

Intent of selection process:

- to have balanced representation on each Research and Development Group both in ethnic diversity and in representation from the middle school feeder areas;
- reto include providers (district employees) and receivers (parents, students and community members);

to include the selection of the representative and alternate from each group;

- 1. Alternates may attend the meeting but may not participate in the decision making process unless they are acting in the place of the representative;
- 2. The representative is responsible for updating the alternate prior to the meeting when the alternate will serve in the place of the regular member;
- 3. A vacancy will be filled by the alternate; if there is no alternate, a replacement may be drawn from the names of those who originally volunteered;
- 4. Participants may be members of only one Research and Development Group.

Providers - Employees

Selection of provider representatives will be determined through the internal process of the employee group. Each group is encouraged to honor the intent of the selection process as they invite members to participate.

Receivers - Parents/Community Members

- Parent and community representatives will be drawn at random from those responding to an invitation to participate (see front page). Volunteers will be divided into six boxes two for each middle school attendance area.
- Names for each Receiver slot will be drawn from the boxes in accordance with the need to balance the Research and Development Group.

Receivers - Students

> The names of students who clearly understand the commitment involved and accept the associated responsibility will be sent to Jane Tom. The names of the student representatives will be drawn at random from the names submitted by the schools.

Site administrators will be asked to especially encourage the participation of students who meet the following criteria:


1. Students in grades 5-12 for this school year:

2. Students who demonstrate responsibility, clear thinking and the ability to articulate their ideas;

3. Students who will make and keep the commitment to attend all meetings;

4. Students of various ethnic/cultural backgrounds.

The names to fill the Receiver positions on each of the Research and Development Groups will be drawn as a part of the December 15, 1998 Board of Education meeting.



Name	If parent, school(s)
	Your child(ren) attend:
Phone: (Home)	Phone: (Work)
I would like to be considered for a	parent position.
	community position.
Ethnicity (needed to honor the intent of the Planning Con White D Native American D	nmittee that each group have balanced representation): Hispanic
Pacific Islander 🗖 African American 🗖	Filipino Other
or San Lorenzo Ui Attention: Jane To 15510	of your local school site mail to: nified School District m, Educational Services Usher Street o CA 94580-1623
◆ TRANSFORMATION Special Edition of Transformations	
TRANSFORMATION Special Edition of Transformations Strategic Planning	ISSUE #1 December 199 PURPOSES OF A STRATEGIC PLAN

Research & Development Groups - 2 -

R&D Group - Student Achievement R&D Group - Student Housing __ 3 __-

R&D Group - Human Relations - @ --

R&D Groups Selection Process - 5 ---

Team work

Limited resources optimized

Results

Access

Analysis

New thinking

Transformation

Energy

Good decisions

Involvement

Continuous improvement



SAN LORENZO Unified School District 15510 Usher Street San Lorenzo, CA 94580



Non-Profit Org. U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 2 San Lorenzo, CA

ECRWSS

SAN LORENZO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Strategic Plan II Process

DISTRICT COMMUNICATION SYSTEM '98 -'99

Communication Strategy	Stakeholders
1.0 Research and Development Groups	1.0 Made up of Staff,
 Reflect participation of all stakeholders' perspective 	Parents,
* All meetings open to public – Last 10 minutes available for public	Students and
comments	Community
 Meeting dates/times listed in Transformation 	
 Meeting dates/times listed in all schools' newsletters 	
• Meetings and Times Brochure - sent to all homes	
+ Meeting dates/times printed in local newsletters	
2.0 Critical Friends	2.0 Made up of Staff,
• Four sessions to provide input/reflections serving as a Focus Group	Parents,
Every SRC Represented	Students and
Reports back to every SRC	Community
3.0 Site Representative Council	3.0 Made up of Staff,
• A review of the 'Research and Development Groups' work will be done	Parents,
at Every SRC meeting at every school	Students and
	Community
4.0 Transformations	4.0 30,000
• Articles will be devoted to Strategic Plan II and the work of the 'Research	Constituents
and Development Group'	including Parents,
• Two-way communication tear-offs will be provided with Survey Questions	Staff, Senior
• Input on Research and Development work will be sought	Citizens, Businesses
	and Community

5.0 Employee Leader Meetings will focus on Strategic Plan II work S.0 SLEA, SEU, CSEA and SLMA 6.0 All Regular District Community Meetings will have an agendized G.0 - District English Language Learners, Parent Committee - Reading Teachers 7.0 Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely All Management, distributed 8.0 Board Meeting Agendas - Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) - Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) - Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1999) - Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) - Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) - Strategic Plan - (June 15, 1999)			
Employee Leader Meetings will focus on Strategic Plan II work S All Regular District Community Meetings will have an agendized discussion Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely Gistributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1999) • Strategic Planning Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)		Communication Strategy	Stakeholders
All Regular District Community Meetings will have an agendized discussion Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)	S.		5.0 SLEA,
All Regular District Community Meetings will have an agendized discussion Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			SEIU,
All Regular District Community Meetings will have an agendized discussion Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November 6, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)	-		CSEA and
All Kegular District Community Meetings will have an agendized discussion Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely Alistributed distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Student Achievement - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			SLMA
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)	<i>-</i>		ļ
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)		discussion	Language
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Strategic Question/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Learners,
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Strategic Question/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Parent
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Committee
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)	-		- Reading
Minutes of Research and Development Group meetings widely distributed Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Teachers
Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Committee, Etc.
Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)		Minutes of Research and Devel	
Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)		distributed	All Management,
Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Employee Group
Board Meeting Agendas • Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) • Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) • Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) • Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) • Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) • Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) • Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) • Action Plans - (June 15, 1999) • Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999)			Leaders and
 Board Meeting Agendas Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Strategic Questions - (October 20, 1998) Approval of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) Student Housing Study Session - (November, 1998) Student Achievement - (January 26, 1999) Research Reports - (February 16, 1999) Goals and Targets - (April 20, 1999) Action Plans - (July 6, 1999) Strategic Plan - (July 6, 1999) 			Board
of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) Questions - (October 20, 1998) of Composition/Selection Process - (November 6, 1998) ousing Study Session - (November, 1998) chievement - (January 26, 1999) Reports - (February 16, 1999) Targets - (April 20, 1999) ns - (June 15, 1999) plan - (July 6, 1999)	x		
Questions – (October 20, 1998) of Composition/Selection Process – (November 6, 1998) ousing Study Session – (November, 1998) chievement – (January 26, 1999) Reports – (February 16, 1999) Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999)		 Approval of Planning to Plan Process - (May, 1998) 	Parents.
of Composition/Selection Process – (November 6, 1998) ousing Study Session – (November, 1998) chievement – (January 26, 1999) Reports – (February 16, 1999) Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999)		 Strategic Questions – (October 20, 1998) 	Staff.
ousing Study Session – (November, 1998) chievement – (January 26, 1999) Reports – (February 16, 1999) Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999) slan – (July 6, 1999)		 Approval of Composition/Selection Process – (November 6, 1998) 	Students.
chievement – (January 26, 1999) Reports – (February 16, 1999) Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999) lan – (July 6, 1999)		 Student Housing Study Session – (November, 1998) 	Business Owners
Reports – (February 16, 1999) Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999) Plan – (July 6, 1999)		◆ Student Achievement — (January 26, 1999)	
Targets – (April 20, 1999) ns – (June 15, 1999) Jan – (July 6, 1999)		 Research Reports – (February 16, 1999) 	
ns – (June 15, 1999) Plan – (July 6, 1999)	as	Goals and Targets – (April 20, 1999)	
Plan – (July 6, 1999)		* Action Plans - (June 15, 1999)	
		• Strategic Plan – (July 6, 1999)	
	(8/		
		rry/SPH-communicationsystem	


STRATEGIC

PHASES

San Lorenzo Unified School District

ESTONES


Educating for Success



TIMELINE

103

PLAN QUESTIONS 2: STRATEGIC NOTE: Begin data and resource collection STUDENT LEARNING questions HOW TO IMPROVE * Strategic for research and development groups defined STRATEGIC INPUT ON SRCs SPOC APPROVAL PROCESS defined PARAMETERS FOR Decision template DEVELOPMENT process product Mission RESEARCH & Budget 1: DESIGN PROCESS Final **PROCESS** NPUT ON defined Student Achievement CONCEPTUAL PLAN Development - Human Relations Student Housing * Research & PROCESS * Milestones OF THE * Phases Group BOARD DESIGN TEAM



6: ESTABLISH IMPLEMENTATION PORTION OF PLAN


7: PROCURE RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT PLAN

8: DISTRICT IMPLEMENT PLAN

- * Understand Action Plans
- Provide Reflections to Action Plans

- * Resources
- * Time
- Energy
 - * Staff
- * Planning * Materials

- * Activate Action Plans for each Goal in accordance with respective timeline
- * Evaluation Completed



Planning Group

1997-98

San Lorenzo Unified School District

1998-99



• Recommendations FINAL Approved by Recommendations REVISION INPUT FROM COMMUNITY Recommendations BOARD Reaction to

MPLEMEN スロコマ

- Ferninate Leases
 - •Hire Architect
- State OSA (OPSC) requirements

.Committee

- Design Curriculum changes & program
- •Hire personnel (Admin., etc.)
- ·Purchase materials/supplies
- ·Possible boundary changes

Final Report

•Board ·Supt.

- ·Parent notification
- Fransportation
- *Staff refocation process
- •Food Services
- •Union sidefetters
- ·Furniture ordering
- ·Handicap/Spec. Ed. needs
- Data processing
- ·Labs (computer, science, etc.)
- *Order texts, instr. materials

0 00

O

ODO

=Staff Resource Team Meetings 0

=Long Term Facilities Planning Group Meetings (w/date)

Ø

nindicates meeting has been completed